

PUBLIC MEETING SUMMARY

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
FEDERAL PROJECT NO. H001271
NATCHITOCHE PARISH, LA

PREPARED BY:
C.H. FENSTERMAKER & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

PUBLIC MEETING

DECEMBER 7, 2017, 4:00PM TO 7:00PM
NATCHITOCHE EVENTS CENTER
750 2ND STREET NATCHITOCHE, LA 71457



PUBLIC MEETING SUMMARY

A public meeting was held on December 7, 2017 for the Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X project. The meeting was held as an informal open house format with multiple stations for participants to visit with project team members.

MEETING OBJECTIVES

The objective of the public meeting was to provide information to the public and seek input from individuals and community organizations regarding potential impacts associated with the proposed replacement of the Cane River Bridge at Church Street located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana.

MEETING ADVERTISEMENT

The public meeting was advertised in several ways. Property owners along the project corridor were mailed a meeting notice on November 9, 2017. Notices of the meeting were advertised in The Natchitoches Times on Thursday, November 23, 2017 and Thursday, November 30, 2017 and the Natchitoches Parish Journal on Wednesday, November 22, 2017 and Thursday, November 30, 2017. Agencies and stakeholders were emailed on November 8, 2017 and mailed a notice on November 9, 2017. Press releases were emailed to the media through LADOTD and posted on the LADOTD project website on November 8, 2017. Copies of the advertisements are included in the Appendix.

MEETING FORMAT

The meeting followed an informal open house format. Five (5) Stations were organized around the meeting facility and are described below:

- **Welcome and Sign-In.** Meeting attendees were asked to provide their contact information. They also received a project handout describing the project and the project history, figures showing the proposed improvements, an overview of the open house format, and a comment form. Copies of the meeting handouts are included in the Appendix.
- **Presentation.** Meeting attendees were able to watch a 20-minute presentation to familiarize themselves about the project history, the current proposed alternatives, and the project timeline. The presentation played on a continuous loop. A copy of the presentation is included in the Appendix.
- **Exhibits.** Meeting attendees were able to visit with project team members to ask questions and to view the bridge alternatives and noise exhibits. Copies of the exhibits are included in the Appendix.
- **Right of Way Information.** Property owners in attendance had the opportunity to speak with a team member to discuss the LADOTD's acquisition of right of way and relocation assistance program.
- **Comments.** Meeting attendees were able to fill out comment forms or record a verbal comment on the voice recorder provided at the station.

MEETING SUMMARY

The meeting was attended by seventy-one (71) citizens, eight (8) elected officials, sixteen (16) agency representatives, three (3) media personnel, and nine (9) project team members.

Public Comments

Comment forms were handed out to each attendee when signing into the meeting. During the open house format meeting, attendees were able to turn in a completed written comment form or record a verbal comment on a voice recorder coordinated by one of the project team members. Attendees and persons who received invitations were also able to provide comments via email or mail. Comments were received through December 18, 2017. The public meeting comment summary table is included in the Appendix and a summary of responses received are below.

Comment Summary

Two (2) mailed comments were received regarding the Cane River Bridge Church Street project prior to the public meeting. One (1) verbal comment was recorded and 27 written comments were received at the public meeting held on December 7, 2017. Nine (9) comments were received following the public meeting through the end of the comment period on December 18, 2017. A summary of the comments received through the public comment period is included in the Appendix. Eight (8) comments were received following the public comment period, and these comments will be included in the EA document.

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1: Public Meeting Advertisements
- Appendix 2: Property Owner Meeting Notices
- Appendix 3: Agency Meeting Notices
- Appendix 4: Meeting Sign-In Sheets
- Appendix 5: Meeting Handout
- Appendix 6: Comment Form
- Appendix 7: PowerPoint Presentation (PDF)
- Appendix 8: Display Boards
- Appendix 9: Exhibits
- Appendix 10: Public Meeting Comment Summary

Appendix 1: Public Meeting Advertisements

OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC MEETING

**CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X
STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
FEDERAL PROJECT NO. H001271
NATCHITOCHE PARISH**

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript. The public meeting has been scheduled as follows:

**Thursday, December 7, 2017
4:00 PM – 7:00 pm
Natchitoches Events Center, McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457**

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address below, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

**Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245**

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF LOUISIANA

Parish of Natchitoches

Before me, a Notary Public, personally came and appeared Vickie Feazell

who, being duly sworn, did depose and say that she/he is Bookkeeper of

The Natchitoches Times, a newspaper of general circulation published within the Parish of Natchitoches,

And that **Open House Public Meeting – Cane River Bridge Church Street Route La 1-X State Project
No. H.001271 Federal Project No. H001271 Natchitoches Parish**

as per copy attached hereto, was published in said newspaper in the issues of 11/23 & 11/30/17

(s) Vickie Feazell

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED to before me this 30th day November, 2017

Tammy E. Raynes
Notary Public

Tammy E. Raynes
#035617

OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC MEETING

**CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X
STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
FEDERAL PROJECT NO. H001271
NATCHITOCHE PARISH**

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript. The public meeting has been scheduled as follows:

Thursday, December 7, 2017

4:00 PM – 7:00 pm

Natchitoches Events Center, McCullen Hall B

750 2nd Street

Natchitoches, LA 71457

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address below, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

**Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245**

ek admis-
m public,
parochial
those who
led, are
apply.
competi-
rs college
disciplined,
ronment.
ed to rich
ough an
m in all

Ribbon
the U.S.
lucation,
lucation
l schools
ity of
which
rs of col-
A cours-
courses
semester
ry from
tion.
eements
ond the
ent pro-
students
ore than
courses
iversity.
rt of the
riculum



Rotary Club



Cane River National Heritage Area Director of Communications Rebecca Blankenbaker and Natchitoches Historic District Development Commission Main Street and Public Relations Manager Janna Jenkins, spoke about Branding Natchitoches to the Natchitoches Rotary Club. They encouraged everyone to complete the survey located at the website <https://www.canerivernha.org/branding-survey> to help them develop a brand with the Grand Group, a company hired to develop the project. From left are President David Zolzer, Rotarian with the Program Jessica Broadway, Jenkins, Blankenbaker and Rotarian Van Erickson.



The Rotary Club Student of the Month for November is LSMSA Lizhand Matuschka. From left are LSMSA member Dr. Kristi Key, Rotarian Tom Matuschka, NSU Recruiting Assistant Direct Ashlee Hewitt, Lizhand Matuschka, Rotarian Tommy Melder and President David Zolzer.

This week at NSU

NSU
Here is a look at the week of Nov. 26-Dec. 2 at Northwestern State University.
Nov. 26-Dec. 2 - Registration for fall semester
Nov. 27 - University reopens after Thanksgiving break
Nov. 28 - Women's basketball vs. Southern Mississippi, Prather Coliseum, 6:30 p.m.
Nov. 29 - Men's basketball vs. Lyon College, Prather Coliseum, 6:30 p.m.
Nov. 29-30 - Christmas Gala, A.A. Fredericks Auditorium, 7 p.m.
Dec. 1 - Meeting of the Board of Supervisors for the University of Louisiana System, Magale Recital Hall
Dec. 1 - Christmas Gala, A.A. Fredericks Auditorium, 7 p.m., 9 p.m.





Special Ornaments

Christmas tree ornaments that have been kept throughout the year hold precious memories for some. We want to feature those ornaments and memories!

Send photos of ornaments and tell us why you've kept them. Send photos to lifestyleeditor@natchitochestimes.com or jgray@natchitochestimes.com

The **Family Doctors** of Natchitoches
American Board of Family Medicine



John E. Hogg, M.D.



Jack D. Fair, M.D.

Family Care Specialist in Adults & Children

- Wellness Exams
- Vaccinations
- CDL Medical Examiner
- Skin Procedures
- Cold & Flu Symptoms
- Colonoscopy & Upper Endoscopy
- Diabetes, Blood Pressure & Cholesterol Management
- On Site Quest Laboratory

ACCEPTING NEW PATIENTS
318-352-6800
615 Bienville St • Natchitoches, LA 71457

Alliance Supplies



Alliance Compressors contributed funds to supply refreshments to the Central Louisiana Technical Community College for their ribbon cutting and open house Nov. 28. From left are Ken Gardner, Alliance Plant Manager, Gwen Fontenot, Student Success Coordinator, and Michelle Brundige, Alliance Human Resources Manager.

LSMSA's informational meeting is for prospective students

Prospective students and their parents will have an opportunity to attend an informational meeting in Natchitoches to learn more about the Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts at 6:30 p.m. Thursday, Nov. 30 in the Jimmy Long Sr. Boardroom located on the LSMSA campus.

LSMSA is the only state-funded residential high school for high achieving and highly motivated sophomores, juniors and seniors. Each year students from all across the state seek admission. Students from public, private and parochial schools, as well as those who are home schooled, are encouraged to apply. Admission is highly competitive. The school offers college level classes in a disciplined, nurturing environment. Students are exposed to rich opportunities through an extensive curriculum in all subject areas.

Named a Blue Ribbon High School by the U.S. Department of Education, LSMSA has articulation agreements with all schools in the University of Louisiana system, which award up to 74 hours of college credit for LSMSA courses. The scope of the courses and the number of semester hours of credit vary from institution to institution.

Articulation agreements are above and beyond the typical dual enrollment program, but LSMSA students also have access to more than 30 dual enrollment courses through a local university. These courses are part of the regular LSMSA curriculum

and taught by LSMSA faculty. Additionally, LSMSA students are highly recruited by colleges all over the country.

LA Sea & Sirloin

Daily Specials

<p>Monday 8oz Ribeye \$13.95. Served with fries or baked potato, roll and 1 trip to the Salad Bar.</p> <p>Tuesday Hamburger Steak \$12.95. Served with grilled onion, sautéed mushrooms, gravy, choice of potato, roll, and 1 trip to the Salad Bar.</p>	<p>Wednesday Jumbo Fried Shrimp (6) \$13.95. Served with fries or baked potato, hushpuppies and 1 trip to the Salad Bar.</p> <p>Thursday Catfish Strip \$13.25. Served with fries or baked potato, hushpuppies and 1 trip to the Salad Bar.</p>
--	---

Mon-Thur 4-9 • Fri-Sat 4-10 • Sun 11-10
6454 Highway 9 • Campti, Louisiana • 318.875.2229

OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC MEETING

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X
STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
FEDERAL PROJECT NO. H001271
NATCHITOCHESES PARISH

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript. The public meeting has been scheduled as follows:

Thursday, December 7, 2017
4:00 PM - 7:00 pm
Natchitoches Events Center, McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address below, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245



IT'S BETTER BY THE RIVER



CANE RIVER COMMISSARY

Tuesday-Friday: 4PM-10PM
Saturday-Sunday: 11AM-10PM

318.238.6361 • 4191 Highway 494 • Bermuda, Louisiana

OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC MEETING – CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

NOVEMBER 22, 2017



STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
FEDERAL PROJECT NO. H001271

NATCHITOCHES PARISH

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript.

The public meeting has been scheduled as follows:

Thursday, December 7, 2017
4:00 PM – 7:00 pm

Natchitoches Events Center, McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address above, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

PAID NOTICE

SEARCH

Search...

SEARCH

RECENT COMMENTS

-  R.W. on "Keep Calm and Call Your Lawye..."
-  Philip McClung on LaCap Senior Spotlight: Bryce...
-  Ethelene Bright on Natchitoches Parish Had It...
-  Nicholas Ryan Wright on Ponderings with Doug – N...
-  Nicholas Ryan Wright on CITY MARSHALS OFFICE OUTSTAND...
-  David J on CITY MARSHAL'S OFFICE OUTSTAND...
-  David J on Ponderings with Doug – N...
-  Dan Carr on Ponderings with Doug – N...
-  Philip McClung on Ponderings with Doug – N...
-  sonny evans on Cancer survivor finds love and...
-  sonny evans on "Keep Calm and Call Your Lawye..."
-  Laura J on "Keep Calm and Call Your Lawye..."
-  Nicholas Ryan Wright on Natchitoches Parish Had It...
-  Dennis Coleman on Parish Council: From disagree...
-  Dennis Coleman on NSU promotes Brad Laird, Demon...

RECENT POSTS

- The Natchitoches Christmas Season is Heating Up
- Fleur De Lis Christmas Craft Market
- Cane River native celebrates her 100th birthday
- LSMSA Gallery Display: "WhitchBitch"
- 'Come Give Thanks with Us Dinner' feeds Natchitoches community

ARCHIVES

- November 2017
- October 2017
- September 2017
- August 2017
- July 2017
- June 2017
- May 2017
- April 2017
- March 2017
- February 2017
- January 2017
- December 2016
- November 2016
- October 2016
- September 2016
- August 2016
- July 2016
- June 2016
- May 2016
- April 2016

OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC MEETING – CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

NOVEMBER 30, 2017



STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
FEDERAL PROJECT NO. H001271

NATCHITOCHE PARISH

The Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be

SEARCH

Search...

SEARCH

RECENT COMMENTS

-  Nicholas Ryan Wright on [NSU Writers: Memory Without Gl...](#)
-  Nicholas Ryan Wright on [NSU Writers: Memory Without Gl...](#)
-  Steve Mork on [NSU Writers: Memory Without Gl...](#)
-  Lisa Benner on [The 2017 Natchitoches Christma...](#)
-  Kathy Johnson on [New set piece dances with ligh...](#)
-  Gail Jones on [NSU Writers: The Beauty of Giv...](#)
-  David J on [2017 Christmas Festival Schedu...](#)
-  Margot Metoyer on [Meet the new coach at NCHS: By...](#)
-  Doris on [Couple needs a calculator for...](#)
-  John on [Ponderings with Doug – D...](#)
-  Dan Carr on [Meet the new coach at NCHS: By...](#)
-  Stanley Ferguson on [OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC MEETING...](#)
-  Gillie on [Meet the new coach at NCHS: By...](#)
-  Nicholas Ryan Wright on [A Story of the Pearl Harbor At...](#)
-  Donald Barker on [A Story of the Pearl Harbor At...](#)

RECENT POSTS

- [The 2017 Natchitoches Christmas Festival – 91 Years of Holiday Magic](#)
- [Radio M'A'S'H event collects Christmas presents for kids](#)
- [Governor and First Lady John Bel and Donna Edwards Visit Natchitoches](#)

mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript.

The public meeting has been scheduled as follows:

Thursday, December 7, 2017

4:00 PM – 7:00 pm

Natchitoches Events Center, McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address above, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

PAID NOTICE
11/30/2107

Share this:



[← ULS Board to meet at NSU Friday](#)

[Notice of Death – November 30, 2017 →](#)

- [Christmas on the Cane Held at Fort St. Jean Baptiste State Historical Site](#)
- [The City of Lights 5K Starts the Christmas Festival Off Right](#)

ARCHIVES

- [December 2017](#)
- [November 2017](#)
- [October 2017](#)
- [September 2017](#)
- [August 2017](#)
- [July 2017](#)
- [June 2017](#)
- [May 2017](#)
- [April 2017](#)
- [March 2017](#)
- [February 2017](#)
- [January 2017](#)
- [December 2016](#)
- [November 2016](#)
- [October 2016](#)
- [September 2016](#)
- [August 2016](#)
- [July 2016](#)
- [June 2016](#)
- [May 2016](#)
- [April 2016](#)
- [March 2016](#)
- [February 2016](#)
- [January 2016](#)
- [December 2015](#)
- [November 2015](#)
- [October 2015](#)
- [September 2015](#)
- [August 2015](#)
- [July 2015](#)
- [June 2015](#)
- [May 2015](#)
- [April 2015](#)
- [March 2015](#)

One thought on “OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC MEETING – CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X”



Stanley Ferguson

DECEMBER 1, 2017 AT 8:27 AM

TO DOTD AN THE CITY , THE BRIDGE NEEDS TO BE REPLACE , BUT THIS IS THE WAY IT NEEDS TO BE DONE.DO NOT ALINE THE BRIDGE WITH ST.CLARE , RATHER CLOSE OFF ST CLARE , COMPLETELY NO ACCESS TO WILLIAMS AN THE BRIDGE AND KEEP THE BRIDGE ALINE WITH CHURCH STREET ONLY AN FRONT. MAKE IT A 3 LANE LIKE THE PINE STREET BRIDGE. PLUS THE CHURCH STREET BRIDGE IS NOT A HISTORIC BRIDGE. BUT RATHER A BRIDGE THAT WILL COLLAPSE FOR THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IT CARRIES EACH AN EVERY DAY. , BUT. AGAIN BLOCK OFF ST CLARE , AN LEAVE THE BRIDGE IN SAME PLACE BUT WIDEN IT TO A 3 LANE , HOW HARD IS IT TO DO . SO SIMPLE. JUST BUILD THE BRIDGE!!! REPLACE THE FISH HATCHERY BRIDGE WITH A 3 LANE BRIDGE AS WELL, AN BUILD THE EAST 4 LANE CORRIDOR AN STRAIGHT ACROSS THE RED TO COME OUT IN CLARENCE AND HOOK UP WITH HWY 6 TO WINN

Email Address

Password

Get Info by District

[Alexandria](#)

[Baton Rouge](#)

[Chase](#)

[Hammond](#)

[Lafayette](#)

[Lake Charles](#)

[Monroe](#)

[New Orleans](#)

[Shreveport](#)



Open House Public Meeting - Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X

Wednesday, November 08, 2017 at 1:39:29 PM

What: Open House Public Meeting - Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X

When: Thursday, December 7, 2017

Where: Natchitoches Events Center -McCullen Hall B, 750 2nd Street, Natchitoches, LA 71457

Time: 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript.

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address above, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

**Appendix 2:
Property Owner Meeting Notices**

City Bank & Trust Co.
PO Box 246
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Brittain Rentals, L.L.C.
919 Parkway Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Immaculate Conception Catholic Church
145 Church Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Wood & Buck, LLC
1953 Highway 484
Natchez, LA 71456

Natchitoches St. Denis Properties, L.L.C.
PO Box 756
Natchitoches, LA 71458

Watson Realty Corp. & Murcocrew
PO Box 226
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Mursimco, Inc.
123 St. Denis Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Mary Lou Lacaze, Et. Al.
1035 Parkway Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Church Street Inn of LA, L.L.C.
140 Russel Cemetery Road
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Al-Marc Properties, LLC
613 North 5th Street
Monroe, LA 71201

Key-Deblieux Investments
PO Box 2146
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Carrie L. Taylor
600 Monroe Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Larob, L.L.C.
120 Cody Lane
Alexandria, LA 71303

Rose & Johnny Cox
584 Front Street, Suite 106-107
Natchitoches, LA 71457

John H. Self
212 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Salim-Beasley, LLC
1901 Texas Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Theresa Daniel
9211 Guernsey Lane
Dallas, TX 75220

Leonard C. Abington
584 Front Street, Suite 206
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Janith C. Frederick
758 Front Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Charla M. Todtenbier
584 Front Street, Suite 205
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Paul & Cammie Khoury
584 Front Street, Suite 207
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Daniel & Molly Collins
304 University Parkway
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Mary Lou Begnaud
584 Front Street, Suite 301
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Rose M. Cox
584 Front Street, Suite 307
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Peggy & Joseph Rhodes
1940 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Charles & Lynn Barr
6121 Fern Avenue, Unit 88
Shreveport, LA 71105

Sandra Dumas & Tedd Dumas Life Insurance Trust
2177 Natchitoches Highway
Many, LA 71449

Central Properties/BMO Harris
16 PO Box 1414
Minneapolis, MN 55480

James & Aimee Fontenot
584 Front Street, Suite 108
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Natchitoches Art Guild
584 Front Street, Suite 102
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Cane Heritage Realty, Inc.
310 Whitfield Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Deblieux & Kelley, INC.
108 Bocage Drive
Houma, LA 70360

Joseph & Richard Robeau
501 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Sidney B. Evans, Jr.
100 St. Clair Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

John & Sarah Luster
PO Box 488
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Norma E. Melder
109 Whitfield Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Mona & Marshall Bamburg
270 Layfield Road
Coushatta, LA 71019

James F. Blakely
6403 Vista Butte
San Antonio, TX 78239

JHT Properties, LLC
PO Box 313
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Jackson Holdings
1325 Washington Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Red River Loans, LLC
145 Claudia Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Marcus D. Jones
1228 Highland Park Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Lee Anne Moore
1218 Highland Park Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Anthony Luvenc
1210 Highland Park Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Marlon & Tania Rowell
471 Highway 1241
Colfax, LA 71417

Marcus D. Finister
1202 Highland Park Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

City of Natchitoches
PO Box 37
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Anthony & Debra Farris
1212 Rusbo Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Jerlyn Knotts
179 Stevens Circle
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Ocie & Lee Helen Johnson
PO Box 422
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Braxton Rental, LLC
672 Abbie Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

James Russell
PO Box 7242
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Anetta Miller
319 Cherie Loop
Natchitoches, LA 71457

David & Dorothy Shumpert
1612 Sabine Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

James & Faith Denton
144 Public Ramp Road
Winnfield, LA 71483

Kenneth Campbell
193 Campbell Road
Natchitoches, LA 71457

First Baptist Church of Natchitoches
508 Second Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Da Thi Nguyen
PO Box 18222
Shreveport, LA 71138

Alvin & Selisa Moses
151 Shamard Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Howard S. Fitzhugh, III
812 Washington Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Red Ball Oxygen Co., Inc.
PO Box 7316
Shreveport, LA 71137

Lewis Towing
1205 Washington Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Wiggins' Lawn Chiefs, Inc.
1523 Barclay Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Wilson J. Cedars, Jr. Et. Al.
1307 Washington Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Stephen & Lori Wheat
1226 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Benjamin & Telba Espinoza-Contreras Forkner
1220 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

William & Ollie Maley
1212 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Diane & Cheri Dodd
513 Watson Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Ronald & Linda Davis
1112.5 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Richard Bray Williams, L.L.C.
162 Jefferson Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Doyle & Mary Pleasant
9959 Highway 120
Robeline, LA 71469

Henry Maggio, V., Et. Al.
1544 East Lakeshore Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Fair Properties, LLC
714 Third Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Mark & Violet Moreno
1209 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Sara Northcutt
1215 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Everette Gunter & Margaret Downey
1221 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Bruce Bullock
1231 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Stillwater Properties, LLC
410 Kayla Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Trinity Episcopal Church
533 Second Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

John B. Guillet
507 Second Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Ferguson Ventures, LLC
215 Big Hill Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Michael & Patsy Murphy
455 Second Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

John J. Vandersypen, Jr.
445 Second Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Richard & Denise Thomas
137 Trudeau Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Nancy Thomas
131 Trudeau Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Larry & Linda Burke
122 South Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Jerry & Sandra Sharplin
145 Holden Street
Robeline, LA 71469

Carol Mccoy
613 Parkway Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Waelder Properties, LLC & Sklar Industries
2299 Hwy. 485
Robeline, LA 71469

Pierson Realty Corporation
PO Box 2628
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Freedom Holdings, LLC
530 Front Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Rodney & Janis Harrington
PO Box 1278
Natchitoches, LA 71457

JB&M Enterprises, LLC
299 Ralph Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

William & Cynthia New
414 Jacobs Street
Berwick, LA 70342

Page, Etc, LLC
PO Box 142
Calvin, LA 71410

Short Family Interests
3618 Fairfield Drive
Shreveport, LA 71104

Brown Properties, LLC
136 East Fifth Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Ronald & Crystal Lodrigue
508 East Second Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

William & Margaret Finical
8 Rocky Place
Odessa, TX 79762

Secret Busby
6042 Cambridge Drive
Alexandria, LA 71303

Rhodes Properties & Development
404 Rapides Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

David Breazeale
1325 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Marion & Donnie Johnston
1852 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Lisa Abney & Douglas Hollingsworth
913 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Rick Nowlin
1005 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Meagan McNeely
311 Bird Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Frank & Anne Aviles
1320 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Sara Cade
1614 McClelland Drive
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Dan Carr
934 Loren Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Bobbie Robinson
942 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Carolyn Wells
607 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Christopher Ingram
1122 E. 5th Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Clay & June Mayeaux
1980 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Dan Poole
1242 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Don & Billie Sepulvado
926 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Eddie Ahrens
143 Orchard Run
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Eleanor Tobin
922 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Jamie & Bray Williams
1054 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Linda Ashe
543 Lime Kiln Road
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Mike & Jessica McGrath
936 Nettie Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Rebecca Osborn
1321 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Richard Galloway
927 Nettie Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Richard Jensen
921 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Susan Gunther
1314 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Lori Leblanc
1040 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Edward & Hayley Norwood
1112 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Kathryn Rhodes
1521 Edna Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Linda Crafton
1517 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Blaine & Karen Murphy
931 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Brandi Bradford
1206 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Carol & Jim Kirkland
107 Live Oak Circle
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Eddie Harrington
PO Box 1278
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Eddie & Reinette Foster
931 Nettie Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Sara Dunn
1450 Williams Avenue
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Larry & Altonette Conday
204 Live Oak Circle
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Fenstermaker
445 North Blvd., Suite 650
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at 225-242-4508 at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Appendix 3: Agency Meeting Notices



Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X

State Project No. H.001271

Federal Project No. H001271

Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

**What: Open House Public Meeting
Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X**

When: Thursday, December 7, 2017

**Where: Natchitoches Events Center
McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457**

Time: 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript.

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact DOTD Environmental Section by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address above, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

<p>Cane River Creole National Park SVC Laura Gates 400 Rapides Drive Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>	<p>City of Natchitoches Nick Verret 700 Second Street Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>
<p>8th Coast Guard District (MO) Commander 1222 Spruce Street St. Louis, MO 63103-2398</p>	<p>Louisiana State Senate District 31 Gerald Long PO Box 151 Winnfield, LA 71483</p>
<p>Louisiana House of Representatives District 22 Terry Brown 510 Main Street Colfax, LA 71417</p>	<p>Chamber of Commerce Natchitoches 780 Front St Suite 101 Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>
<p>Louisiana House of Representatives District 24 Frank Howard 1601 Texas Highway Many, LA 71449</p>	<p>Louisiana House of Representatives District 23 Kenny Cox 781 Highway 494 Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>
<p>Louisiana State Senate District 29 Jay Luneau 711 Washington Street Alexandria, LA 71301</p>	<p>Louisiana State Police Troop E 1710 Odom Street Alexandria, LA 71301</p>
<p>Natchitoches Parish Floodplain Administrator PO Box 799 Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>	<p>Natchitoches Parish Police Jury PO Box 799 Natchitoches, LA 71458</p>
<p>Natchitoches Parish Sheriff PO Box 266 Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>	<p>Natchitoches Parish Planning Commission PO Box 799 Natchitoches, LA 71458</p>
<p>Natchitoches Parish School Board PO Box 16 Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>	<p>Natchitoches Soil and Water Conservation District of Louisiana 6949 Highway 1 Bypass Natchitoches, LA 71457</p>
<p>USDA, Forest Service Kisatchie National Forest Supervisor 2500 Shreveport Highway Pineville, LA 71360-2009</p>	<p>Department of Culture Recreation and Tourism Office of State Parks PO Box 44426 Baton Rouge, LA 70804</p>
<p>Department of Wildlife & Fisheries LA Natural Heritage Program PO Box 98000 Baton Rouge, LA 70898</p>	<p>Department of Economic Development Office of Business Development PO Box 94185 Baton Rouge, LA 70804</p>

<p>Department of Agriculture & Forestry 5825 Florida Blvd, Suite 6000 Baton Rouge, LA 70806</p>	<p>Department of Culture Recreation & Tourism Division of Historic Preservation Nicole Hobson-Morris PO Box 44247 Baton Rouge, LA 70804</p>
<p>Department of Culture Recreation & Tourism Division of Archaeology Chip McGimsey PO Box 44247 Baton Rouge, LA 70804</p>	<p>Department of Public Safety Highway Safety Commission PO Box 66336 Baton Rouge, LA 70896</p>
<p>Department of Health and Hospitals Chief Sanitarian Director PO Box 629 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-0629</p>	<p>Department of Health and Hospitals OPH Engineering SVCS (BIN 10) Yuanda Zhu 628 North 4th Street Baton Rouge, LA 70802</p>
<p>Division of Administration Facility Planning and Control PO Box 94095 Baton Rouge, LA 70804</p>	<p>Division of Administration State Land Office PO Box 44124 Baton Rouge, LA 70804</p>
<p>Federal Transit Admin, Region VI 819 Taylor Street, Room 14A02 Fort Worth, TX 76102</p>	<p>Floodplain Management Program DOTD Section 64 P.O. Box 94245 Baton Rouge, LA 70802</p>
<p>LA Department of Natural Resources Office of Mineral Resources PO Box 2827 Baton Rouge, LA 70821</p>	<p>Louisiana Forestry Association Executive Director PO Box 5067 Alexandria, LA 71307</p>
<p>Environmental Protection Agency Source Water Protection (6WQ-S) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75202-2733</p>	<p>FEMA Region VI FRC 800 North Loop 288 Denton, TX 76209</p>
<p>LA Department of Natural Resources Office of Conservation PO Box 94275 Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9275</p>	<p>LA Good Roads Association PO Box 3713 Baton Rouge, LA 70821</p>
<p>LSU Sea Grant Advisory Svc Director LA Sea Grant Law & Policy 227B Sea Grant Building Baton Rouge, LA 70803</p>	<p>National Park Service Southeast Region Environmental Compliance Specialist 110 Alabama Street, SW 1924 Bldg. Atlanta, GA 30303</p>
<p>Natural Resources Conservation SVC State Conservationist 3737 Government St Alexandria, LA 71302</p>	<p>US House of Representatives District 2 Cedric Richmond 2021 Lakeshore Drive Suite 309 New Orleans, LA 70122</p>

US House of Representatives District 6
Garret Graves
2351 Energy Drive Suite 1200
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

US House of Representatives District 5
Ralph Abraham
426 Desiard St
Monroe, LA 71201

US Senate
Bill Cassidy
5555 Hilton Avenue Suite 100
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

US Geological Survey
3535 S. Sherwood Forest Dr. Suite 120
Baton Rouge, LA 70806

US House of Representatives District 3
Clay Higgins
PO Box 61747
Lafayette, LA 70596

US House of Representatives District 4
Mike Johnson
PO Box 6075
Bossier City, LA 71171

US House of Representatives District 1
Steve Scalise
110 Veterans Blvd., Suite 500
Metairie, LA 70005

US Senate
John Kennedy
PO Box 80418
Baton Rouge, LA 70898

From: [Bliss Bernard](#)
To: [Brian Delatte](#); [Carey Coxe](#); [Ellen Rankin](#); [Elnur Musa](#); [Erin Buchanan](#); [Jared Taylor](#); [Jeffrey Connella](#); [John Rogers](#); [Jonathan Lachney](#); [Joshua Cunningham](#); [Keith Sayer](#); [Linda Hardy](#); [Lynn Heisler](#); [Maria Reid](#); [Mathilda Rilovich](#); [Patrick Toney](#); [Robert Mahoney](#); [Robin Daigle](#); [Rodney Mallett](#); [Ronald Powell](#); [Stephen Pfeffer](#); [Trey Jesclard](#)
Subject: H.001271 Cane River Bridge Church Street EA- Public Meeting

Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X
State Project No. H.001271
Federal Project No. H001271
Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

What: Open House Public Meeting on Proposed Improvements to
Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X
When: Thursday, December 7, 2017
Where: Natchitoches Events Center
McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457
Time: 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript.

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact Robin Daigle by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address above, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Please let me know if you will be in attendance by accepting this meeting request.

Thanks,
Bliss Kelley Bernard

From: [Bliss Bernard](#)
To: [Betty Fuller](#); [Charles Brossett](#); [Cynthia Sutton](#); [Edd Lee](#); [Eddie Harrington](#); [Juanita Fowler](#); [Lee Posey](#); [Nick Verret](#); [Randy LaCaze](#); [Rick Nowlin](#); [Sandra Dickens](#); [Sharon Gahagan](#)
Subject: H.001271 Cane River Bridge Church Street EA- Public Meeting

Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X
State Project No. H.001271
Federal Project No. H001271
Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

What: Open House Public Meeting on Proposed Improvements to
Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X
When: Thursday, December 7, 2017
Where: Natchitoches Events Center
McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457
Time: 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (DOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting a public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

The meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits will be available for viewing. Representatives from DOTD and its consultants will be at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions will be invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the meeting. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript.

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

Should you require special assistance due to a disability in order to participate in this public meeting, please contact Robin Daigle by telephone at (225) 242-4508, or at the address above, at least five (5) working days prior to the public meeting date.

Please let me know if you will be in attendance by accepting this meeting request.

Thanks,
Bliss Kelley Bernard

Michael Attocknie
Caddo Nation of Oklahoma
Post Office Box 487
Binger, OK 73009

Tommy Bolton
Choctaw Apache Tribe of Ebarb
Post Office Box 1428
Zwolle, LA 71486

Dr. Linda Langley
Coushatta Tribe of Louisiana
Post Office Box 818
Elton, LA 70532

Kevin Billiot
Inter-Tribal Council of Louisiana, Inc.
991 Grand Caillou Road
Houma, LA 70363-5705

Earl Barby, Jr.
Tunica-Biloxi Tribe of Louisiana
Post Office Box 1589
Marksville, LA 71351

Dr. Andrea Hunter
Osage National Historic Preservation Office
627 Grandview Avenue
Pawhuska, OK 74056

Lindsey Bilyeu
Lynn Heisler
Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma
Lynn.Heisler@dot.gov

Bryant Celestine
Alabama Coushatta Tribe of Texas
Celestine.Bryant@Actribe.org

Alina Shively
Jena Band of Choctaw Indians
AShively@JenaChoctaw.org

Kenneth Carleton
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians
KCarleton@Choctaw.org

Appendix 4: Meeting Sign-In Sheets



PUBLIC SIGN IN

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X PUBLIC MEETING
 DECEMBER 7, 2017 | 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM
 NATCHITOCHEES EVENTS CENTER | 750 2ND STREET | NATCHITOCHEES, LA

State Project No. H.001271
 Federal Project No. H001271

NAME	MAILING ADDRESS	EMAIL	TELEPHONE
Jimmy & Carolyn Gunter	1221 Williams Natchitoches, la.	Carolg02@bellsouth.net	318-352-3379
Luke Frederick	1835 Williams Ave Natchitoches		318 352 2803
Mona Sayers	112 Des Negis NTS	wolferiver@earthlink.net	318 527 126
Diane & Marie DODD	1128 Williams Ave Natchitoches	ddodd123@verizon.net	318-332-4235
Don & Billie Sepulveda	926 Williams Ave Natchitoches	sepulvadope@suddenlink.net	318-352-4822
Chief M. Dove	400 Amulet	mdove@natchitochesla.gov	318-357-3801
Joe Payne Williams & SARANell Williams	200 Budget Dr. Natchitoches LA	jpwpw@cp-tel.net	318-352-6695
Tommie Durr	166 E Ridge Dr Natchitoches	TommieDurr@gmail.com	318-214-2018
Lisa Moses	151 Shamard Dr. Natchitoches LA	lis.moses@gmail.com	318-357-8813
Lisa Moses	151 Shamard Dr. Natchitoches LA	lis.moses@gmail.com	318-357-8813
Ann Z. Shields	102 KATELYN CTR., NATCH.		
Danilo Sklar	448 Jefferson St Natch	lfsklar@cp-tel.net	318-352-1096
Jerry Sklar	448 Jefferson St Natch	jsklar@bfc.cs.com	318-352-1096
BRAD WILLIAMS	1054 WILLIAMS AVE. NATCH	BWILLIAMS@YAHOO.COM	318-352-6695
Bill & Julie Durr	1404		352-5640
Ann	309 Whitfield		938-3350
Lisa Abney	913 Williams Ave	dr.L.Abney@gmail.com	318.471.2528
Doug Hollingsworth	913 Williams Ave	dhollingsworth@gmail.com	318-356-9093
Janet Luster	601 Williams Ave & 1120 Williams Ave	aemeposter@bellsouth.net	318-471-3957
John D. Williams	1515 E. LAKE SHORE DR.	williams@alsula.edu	318-289-4234
Brandi Bradford	1206 Williams Ave Natch 71457	rangerbrandi@yahoo.com	512-971-9518
Erica Durr-Tidwell	324 Gene Lane	EricaFDurr@gmail.com	318-652-0831
W S Mitchell	210 Jefferson	WSMitchell3363@gmail.com	713-585-6313
Natalie Covher	1232 Williams Ave Natch	ncovher@gmail.com	318-438-0909
Jeffery Key	1347 Williams Ave	barney.Key68@gmail.com	318-205-7121



PUBLIC SIGN IN

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X PUBLIC MEETING
DECEMBER 7, 2017 | 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM
NATCHITOCHEES EVENTS CENTER | 750 2ND STREET | NATCHITOCHEES, LA

State Project No. H.001271
Federal Project No. H001271

NAME	MAILING ADDRESS	EMAIL	TELEPHONE
John N. Sely	584 Front St ste 104		318-337-2650
WILLIAM LANG	117 LEVEE RD 71457		318-471-5532
Don N Book	584 Front St ste 306		770 876-3827
TIMOTHY GUNTHER	1314 WILLIAMS AVE NATCH 71457	timothy_gunther@msn.com	318-357-8382
J.E. Robeau	501 Williams "		
R.M. ROBEAU	501 WILLIAMS "		
Bill Maley	1212 WILLIAMS AVE "		
DeAD Maley	"		
KIM WRIGHT	1900 WILLIAMS AVE "	kwright637@aol.com	318-356-9208
John laborde	130 S. Williams Ave Natch, LA		
Mike Barr	584 Front St #302 Natchitoches	NAKATOSH HOA@comcast.net	318-218-8080
RONALD DAVIS	1112 1/2 WILLIAMS AVE -- --		
LINDA DAVIS	-- -- -- --	LGDAVIS@CP-TEL.NET	318-581-5597
Charlotte Menschbroek	102 Starlight Dr.	rmenschbroek@suddenlink.net	318-357-1650
Roger Menschbroek	"	"	
Jack Wilkins	1024 Williams Ave		
John Hunt	1120 WILLIAMS AVE NW	LUSTER_J@BELLSONT4.NET	318-352-3602
Scott Williams	1612 Williams Ave.	lascottynate@gmail.com	318-214-2895
Ray & Mary Durham	455 Second St	ray@mikemurphyagent.com	
DAVID WILLIAMSON	106 Toulon		
Ellen TUCKER	807 Whitfield	ellentucker@suddenlink.net	318-352-2297
Scott Waskom	900 Fish Hatchery Rd		318-332-9871
Charles Youngblood	1508 E. Lakeshore Dr. Natch.	CharlesYoungblood56@comcast.net	318-550-3325
Martia Cain	103 Live Oak Circle	martiacain@yahoo.com	318-792-9871
Doug Cain	"	"	337-5639678



AGENCY SIGN IN

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X PUBLIC MEETING
DECEMBER 7, 2017 | 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM
NATCHITOCHEES EVENTS CENTER | 750 2ND STREET | NATCHITOCHEES, LA

State Project No. H.001271
Federal Project No. H001271

NAME	AFFILIATION	EMAIL	TELEPHONE
JONATHAN LACHNEY	LA DOTD	JONATHAN.LACHNEY@LA.GOV	318-561-5103
MIKEILA MORGAN	PROVIDENCE	MIKEILAMORGAN@PROVIDENCEENG.COM	225-766-7400
Katelyn Johnson	Providence	Katelyn.johnson@providenceeng.com	225-766-7400
Bliks Bernard	Fenstermaker	BLIKS@FENSTERMAKER.COM	225-344-6701
Robin Daigle	LA DOTD	robin.daigle@la.gov	225-242-4508
MARIA BERNARD REID	LA DOTD	maria.reid@la.gov	225-242-4506
Carey Cox	LA DOTD	CareyCox@la.gov	225-242-4520
Robert Lott	LA DOTD	Robert.Lott@LA.gov	225-242-4504
Einur Musa	LA DOTD	EINUR.MUSA@LA.GOV	225-379-1465
ERIN BUCHANAN	LA DOTD	ERIN.BUCHANAN@LA.GOV	(318) 549-8408
Michelle Helminger	Huval + Assoc.	mhelminger@huvalassoc.com	337-234-3798
Keith Johnson	LA DOTD	Thomas.S.K.Johnson@la.gov	318-357-3158
Chris Soileau	LA DOTD	chris.soileau2@la.gov	318-561-5111
John Rogers	FHWA - LA Div.	john.rogers@dot.gov	225-757-7605
Kent Clark	LA DOTD	Kent.clark@la.gov	318-561-5250
Nick Verret	City of Natch.	nverretjr@natchitochesla.gov	318-357-1727
Edd Lee	City of Natchitoches	elee@natchitochesla.gov	318-357-3824
Stacy McQueeny	City of Natchitoches	SmcQueeny@NatchitochesLa.gov	318-357-3821
Crit Miller	City of Natchitoches	cmiller@NatchitochesLa.gov	318-357-3863

Appendix 5: Meeting Handout




FIGURE 5: Proposed Cane River Replacement Bridge Typical Section




FIGURE 6: Proposed Temporary Bridge Typical Section

NEXT STEPS:

- Complete Environmental Inventory
- Develop Preferred Alternative
- Host Additional Public Meeting (If Necessary)
- Prepare Environmental Assessment (EA)
- Distribute EA for public and agency comments
- Host Public Hearing
- Prepare EA and Finding of No Significant Impact (If Appropriate)
- Issue Decision (FONSI or Notice of Intent to prepare EIS)

FOR MORE INFORMATION:

For more information, please contact:

Louisiana Department of Transportation & Development
 Attn: Erin Buchanan
 Office: 318-549-8402



PUBLIC MEETING



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

State Project No. H.001271
 Federal Aid Project No. H001271
 Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

December 7, 2017
 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM

Natchitoches Events Center
 McCullen Hall B
 750 2nd Street
 Natchitoches, LA 71457



Purpose of Public Meeting:

The Louisiana Department and Transportation and Development (LADOTD) in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is conducting this public meeting for the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge on Church Street Route LA 1-X located in Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. The purpose of the public meeting is to provide information to the public and to obtain input on the proposed project.

Meeting Format:

This meeting will follow an open house format. There will be a continuous multi-media presentation about the project. Additional project exhibits are available for viewing. Representatives from LADOTD and its consultants are present at the open house to answer questions and discuss issues related to the project. Comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties to help ensure that the study team addressed the full range of environmental issues during the Environmental Assessment process. Verbal comments can be recorded and written statements can be submitted at the comment station. Written statements can also be mailed to the address shown below, postmarked no later than December 18, 2017, to be included in the meeting transcript.

Mailing address

LADOTD
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

Meeting Stations:

The open house meeting format consist of five (5) stations to visit. Each station will have representatives present to guide you through the station. The following are the stations along with a brief description.

STATION NO. 1: SIGN IN

•Welcome and please sign in. A copy of the meeting handouts will be made available at this station.

STATION NO. 2: PRESENTATION

•Continuous audio/visual presentation about the proposed project.

STATION NO. 3: EXHIBITS

•Visit with team members and view the exhibits of the proposed project.

STATION NO. 4: RIGHT OF WAY

•Visit with team members to discuss LADOTD’s acquisition of right of way and relocation assistance program.

STATION NO. 5: COMMENTS

•Please take a moment to provide any comments you may have. Either fill out the comment form provided with this handout and turn it in at Station No. 5 or record a verbal comment on the voice recorder found at this station. You may also mail your comment form to the address provided.

What is an Environmental Assessment (EA)?

An Environmental Assessment is a concise public document that a Federal agency prepares under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to provide sufficient evidence and analysis to determine whether a proposed agency action would require preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). It also includes a public involvement process.

Why is an Environmental Assessment being prepared?

This environment assessment (EA) is being prepared to assess potential impacts associated with the proposed replacement of the existing Cane River Bridge. The EA will analyze the following major issues and/or impacts to: wetlands, air and water quality, noise, floodplains, scenic streams, endangered and threatened species, historical and cultural resources, hazardous wastes (Phase I environmental site assessments), land use impacts, prime farmland soils, social impacts, environmental justice, relocation impacts, and economic impacts. The EA will also evaluate a range of alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative (or No-Build). Information obtained following the public meeting will be considered in finalizing the array of alternatives to be evaluated in the EA.

Brief Project History:

The Cane River Bridge is located on Louisiana Highway 1-X (known locally as Church Street) over Cane River between LA 6 (Front Street) and LA 1224 (Williams Avenue) in the City of Natchitoches, Louisiana. The existing Cane River Bridge is a two-lane, 441-foot concrete girder span bridge. The bridge provides a vital transportation connection to the historical commercial downtown areas of Natchitoches along the west bank of Cane River and to the historical residential areas along the east bank of the river.

The following is an outline of events that have occurred related to the project:

1998	• Stage 1 environmental process was initiated
1999	• Public meeting was held
2001	• Natchitoches City Council passed resolution in favor of the project
2003	• The project was put on hold due to controversy
2015	• LADOTD proposed to re-start the Environmental Assessment
2016	• LADOTD solicited consultant teams for project • Natchitoches City Council unanimously voted to not contribute funds for a permanent bridge at Highland Park
2017	• LADOTD Contract awarded for Cane River Bridge Environmental Assessment



FIGURE 1: Proposed Cane River Replacement Bridge on Skewed Alignment




FIGURE 2: Proposed Cane River Replacement Bridge on Existing Alignment




FIGURE 3: Proposed Temporary Bridge at Highland Park




FIGURE 4: Proposed Temporary Bridge at Toulaine Street

Appendix 6: Comment Form


CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

State Project No. H.001271
Federal Project No. H001271
Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

Thursday, December 7, 2017
Natchitoches Events Center, McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457


STATION CHECKLIST

This public meeting is an open-house style format where attendees can visit each “station” to learn about the project, visit with the project team members, and provide comments. Please use this form to track your visit to each station.




STATION NO. 1 – SIGN IN

Welcome. Please sign in and pick up a copy of the meeting handouts.



STATION NO. 2 – PRESENTATION

Continuous presentation about the proposed project.




STATION NO. 3 – EXHIBITS

Visit with team members and view the exhibits of the proposed project.



STATION NO. 4 – RIGHT OF WAY

Visit with team members to discuss LADOTD’s acquisition of right of way and relocation assistance program.



STATION NO. 5 – COMMENTS (VERBAL OR WRITTEN)

Please take a moment to provide any comments you may have. Either fill out the comment form on the back of this page and turn it in at Station #5 or record a verbal comment on the voice recorder. You may also mail your comment form to the address provided postmarked no later than December 18, 2017.

**Appendix 7:
Powerpoint Presentation (PDF)**



Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X
Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana
State Project No. H.001271
Federal Aid Project No. H001271

Public Meeting

Thursday, December 7, 2017
4:00PM to 7:00PM




TEAM MEMBERS

LEAD AGENCIES:



CONSULTING FIRMS:



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



MEETING PURPOSE

- Provide information regarding the proposed replacement of Cane River Bridge at LA 1 (Church Street);
- Provide project exhibits for public review;
- Offer the public an opportunity to speak informally with representatives from the Project Team; and
- An opportunity for the public to provide comments verbally and in writing.

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X





MEETING STATIONS



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X





PROJECT HISTORY

- 1998 Stage 1 environmental process was initiated
- 1999 Public meeting was held
- 2001 Natchitoches City Council passed resolution in favor of the project
- 2003 Project put on hold
- 2015 LADOTD proposes to re-start the Environmental Assessment
- 2016 LADOTD solicits consultant teams for project
- 2016 Natchitoches City Council unanimously votes to not contribute funds for a permanent bridge at Highland Park
- 2017 LADOTD Contract awarded for Cane River Bridge Environmental Assessment



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PROJECT LOCATION



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



SOLICITATION OF VIEWS (SOV)

- Preliminary project description and maps were sent by LADOTD on June 7, 2017
- LADOTD mailed out **76** SOV letters to various federal, statewide, and local agencies required by the NEPA process
- Received **18** agency responses and **41** citizen responses
- **39 of the 41** citizen comments opposed a temporary bridge along Highland Park Drive



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PROJECT PURPOSE



The purpose of the project is to address bridge deficiencies related to the age and design of the existing bridge crossing along Church Street over Cane River, as well as to address functional deficiencies related to the traffic movement through the existing intersection of Church Street, LA 1224 (Williams Avenue), and St. Clair Avenue.



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PROJECT NEED



Exposed Structural Steel



Misalignment of Bridge Deck



Cracks in Concrete Piles

BRIDGE STRUCTURE DEFICIENCIES

- Existing bridge is over 80 years old, which exceeds its expected service life
- All three main elements of the bridge (superstructure, substructure, and main decking) are in poor condition and should be addressed
- The bridge also is load posted/weight restricted, which limits utility



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PROJECT NEED



Traffic During Non-Peak Hours



Queuing at West Bridge End



Queuing at East Bridge End

FUNCTIONAL DEFICIENCIES

- Capacity
 - Both existing and future projected traffic flows along the Cane River Bridge exceed the amount that can be served
 - Currently there are no dedicated turn lanes on east and west end of bridge
 - Queuing of traffic



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PROJECT NEED



Misaligned Intersection



60' Offset Intersection Approaches



Offset Intersection

FUNCTIONAL DEFICIENCIES

- Non-Standard Intersection Configuration
 - Misaligned east approach on Cane River Bridge at intersection of Church Street, Williams Avenue, and St. Clair Avenue
 - Misalignment and existing traffic control conditions introduce multiple vehicular conflict points and may cause driver confusion



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES

- Replace existing Cane River Bridge with new permanent bridge utilizing a temporary bridge;
- Replace existing Cane River Bridge with new permanent bridge utilizing staged construction to avoid the need for a temporary bridge;
- Permanent bridge: keep same alignment or provide skewed alignment;
- Temporary bridge locations- Highland Park Drive or Touline Street;
- No build




CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES- ALTERNATIVE 1

Replace Cane River Bridge on Skewed Alignment Utilizing Staged Construction




CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES- ALTERNATIVE 1A

Replace Cane River Bridge on Skewed Alignment with Temporary Bridge at Highland Park



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES- ALTERNATIVE 1B

Replace Cane River Bridge on Skewed Alignment with Temporary Bridge at Toulaine Street



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES- ALTERNATIVE 2

Replace Cane River Bridge on Existing Alignment Utilizing Staged Construction



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES- ALTERNATIVE 2A

Replace Cane River Bridge on Existing Alignment with Temporary Bridge at Highland Park



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES- ALTERNATIVE 2B


Replace Cane River Bridge on Existing Alignment with Temporary Bridge at Touline Street



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



WHAT RESOURCES WILL THE EA ANALYZE?




CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

- Several historic sites and homes adjacent to corridor
- Potential residential and commercial relocations
- Potential impacts to newly built waterfront park and sewer lift station




CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

- Natchitoches Historical District



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION

- LADOTD's objective is to pay fair compensation for all properties required for the project as a part of the acquisition process as follows:



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION

- Relocation assistance payments are separate and in addition to property payments. The advisory services offered shall include current and continuing information on the following:
 - Availability and prices of comparable, decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings for displaced residents
 - Comparable commercial properties and locations for displaced businesses
 - Finance charges
 - Federal and State programs offering assistance to displaced persons

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION

- More information on right of way acquisition and relocation assistance is located at Station 4, including the following resources:
 - Representatives from LADOTD's real estate department
 - LADOTD brochure detailing the acquisition of right of way and relocation assistance program

If you have property affected by this project, please read the brochure carefully, and if you have any questions regarding your individual situation, consult with the agent made available to you tonight or contact the regional real estate office.



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



AGENCY & PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

- Agency Scoping Meeting – Held on October 12, 2017
- Public Meeting – Being Held Tonight
- Possible 2nd Public Meeting – Early Spring 2018
- EA Public Review – Summer 2018
- Public Hearing – Summer 2018
- EA and FONSI Review – Winter 2018/2019



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!



Please walk around and view the exhibits, ask questions to any of the project team members, and provide your comments.

Thank you for attending!

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



PRESENTATION WILL BEGIN IN



CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X



Appendix 8: Display Boards



WELCOME

Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X

State Project No. H.001271
Federal Project No. H01271
Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

Natchitoches Events Center
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Thursday, December 7, 2017
4:00 PM to 7:00 PM





STATION

1

SIGN-IN

WELCOME! PLEASE SIGN IN
AND TAKE A COPY OF THE MEETING HANDOUTS





STATION

2

PRESENTATION

CONTINUOUS PRESENTATION ABOUT THE
PROPOSED PROJECT





STATION

3

EXHIBITS

VISIT WITH TEAM MEMBERS AND VIEW EXHIBITS OF
THE PROPOSED PROJECT





STATION

4

RIGHT OF WAY

VISIT WITH TEAM MEMBERS TO DISCUSS THE DOTD'S
ACQUISITION OF RIGHT OF WAY AND RELOCATION
ASSISTANCE PROGRAM






STATION 5 COMMENTS

PLEASE TAKE A MOMENT TO PROVIDE ANY
COMMENTS YOU MAY HAVE





PUBLIC MEETING

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Natchitoches Events Center
McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Thursday, December 7, 2017
4:00 PM to 7:00 PM







PUBLIC MEETING

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Natchitoches Events Center
McCullen Hall B
750 2nd Street
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Thursday, December 7, 2017
4:00 PM to 7:00 PM



Appendix 9: Exhibits



BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON EXISTING ALIGNMENT

CANE RIVER BRIDGE AT CHURCH STREET
 STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
 FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. H001271






BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON SKEWED ALIGNMENT


CANE RIVER BRIDGE AT CHURCH STREET
STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. H001271






TEMPORARY BRIDGE CROSSING AT HIGHLAND PARK DR. TO WILLIAMS AVE.
 CANE RIVER BRIDGE AT CHURCH STREET
 STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
 FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. H001271






PLAN LEGEND	
	PROPOSED DETOUR BRIDGE APPROACH
	PROPOSED DETOUR BRIDGE
	PROPOSED PREFABRICATED ACROW BRIDGE TRUSS




TEMPORARY BRIDGE CROSSING AT TOULINE ST. TO STEPHENS AVE.

CANE RIVER BRIDGE AT CHURCH STREET
 STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
 FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. H001271






TYPICAL SECTION
TEMPORARY DETOUR BRIDGE



TYPICAL SECTION
PROPOSED CHURCH STREET BRIDGE



Legend

- Traffic Noise Study 500-ft Radius
- Alternative on Skewed Alignment Centerline
- Alternative on Existing Alignment Centerline
- Impacted Receivers

St

0 25 50 100 150 200 Feet

Receiver Number	Receiver Location Name	No Build dB	Existing Alignment dB	Skewed Alignment dB
1	Immaculate Conception Church Courtyard	66.1	66.1	66.1
4	Church Street Inn Courtyard	71.4	71.4	71.4
7.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	66.3	66.3	66.3
7.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	66.1	66.1	66.1
8.1	Merci Beaucoup Gift Shope bench	71.4	71.4	71.4
8.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	71.0	70.9	70.9
8.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	70.7	70.7	70.7
9.1	Merci Beaucoup Gift Shope bench	71.5	71.5	71.5
9.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	71.1	71.0	71.0
9.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	70.9	70.8	70.8
10.1	The Breakfast Nook bench	71.7	71.7	71.6
10.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	71.3	71.3	71.2
10.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	71.2	71.1	71.1
11.1	Brenda's Clothing & Accessories bench	72.1	72.0	72.0
11.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	71.7	71.7	71.6
11.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	71.5	71.4	71.4
13.1	Brenda's Clothing & Accessories bench	72.7	72.8	72.6
13.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	72.3	72.3	72.2
13.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	72.1	72.1	71.9
16.1	Front Street Market outdoor seating	73.6	73.7	73.3
16.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	73.2	73.3	72.8
16.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	73.1	73.1	72.7
19.1	1st floor apartment patio	67.6	67.8	67.9
19.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	67.7	67.7	67.7
19.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	67.7	67.6	67.6
20.1	1st floor apartment patio	68.3	68.4	68.4
20.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	68.3	68.3	68.3
20.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	68.3	68.1	68.2
21.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	69.1	68.9	69.0
21.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	68.9	68.7	68.7
22.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	70.5	70.1	70.1
22.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	70.2	69.9	69.9
23.1	Front Street Market outdoor seating	72.7	72.1	72.1
23.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	72.4	71.9	71.9
23.3	3rd floor apartment balcony	72.2	71.8	71.8


Decibel levels shown are for the worst-case conditions for the Design Year 2038 PM models.

Preliminary Results – Study Ongoing



CANE RIVER BRIDGE ALTERNATIVES
 DESIGN YEAR 2038: IMPACTED NOISE RECEIVERS
 CANE RIVER BRIDGE AT CHURCH STREET
 STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
 FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. H001271






Legend

- Traffic Noise Study 500-ft Radius
- Cane River Bridge - Existing Centerline
- Temp. Detour Bridge Touline Centerline
- Touline St Bridge Impacted Receivers

0 50 100 200 300 400 Feet

Receiver Number	Receiver Location Name	Construction dB
35	501 Williams Ave residence	66.1
50.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	69.6
51.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	68.9
52.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	68.2
53.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	67.9
56.2	2nd floor apartment balcony	66.4
62	444 Williams Ave residence	69.3
63	440 Williams Ave residence	67.5
64	434 Williams Ave residence	66.8

Decibel levels shown are for the worst-case conditions for the Construction Year 2018 PM models.



Legend

- Traffic Noise Study 500-ft Radius
- Cane River Bridge - Existing Centerline
- Temp. Detour Bridge Highland Park Centerline
- Highland Park Dr Bridge Impacted Receivers

0 50 100 200 300 400 Feet

Receiver Number	Receiver Location Name	Construction dB
38	1124 Williams Ave residence	71.6
40	1112 Williams Ave residence	68.8

Decibel levels shown are for the worst-case conditions for the Construction Year 2018 PM models.

Preliminary Results – Study Ongoing



TEMPORARY BRIDGE ALTERNATIVES
 CONSTRUCTION YEAR 2018: IMPACTED NOISE RECEIVERS
 CANE RIVER BRIDGE AT CHURCH STREET
 STATE PROJECT NO. H.001271
 FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. H001271



**Appendix 10:
Public Meeting Comment Summary**

PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT SUMMARY
MEETING DATE: DECEMBER 7, 2017
COMMENT PERIOD END: DECEMBER 18, 2017

Table 1.0 below documents the comments received for the Public Meeting held on December 7, 2017. Information on providing public comments were included in the meeting invitation, as well as the meeting materials. The Comment/Survey Forms were provided at the meeting and attendees were able to record public comments. The comments were received during the public comment period from November 11, 2017 to December 18, 2017.

COMMENT #	COMMENTS	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
1	Joseph M. Baker	<p>In response to the article presented in the Natchitoches Times, I send this transmittal as I may not be available for the December 07, 2017 meeting scheduled at the Events Center, McCullen Hall.</p> <p>I realize the bridge on Church Street is in need of repair. I am prepared for some inconvenience but would like to express my thinking on the final bridge position. I think the thought is to keep Church Street Bridge in place.</p> <p>I see no cause to legitimately construct a new bridge and call it “temporary”. If a bridge is built, it probably cost substantially the same to be permanent as it is to be temporary.. What is the harm in having two bridges in proximity of down town Natchitoches? What ever amount of funds are required to construct a temporary fixture would be funds toward a new permanent structure.</p> <p>I am in total agreement with a new traffic bridge. I would like to see the current Church Street bridge maintained as a “foot traffic” bridge, as that would be an asset to downtown as well as to the town east of the bridge. It would be an asset to my neighborhood. My address is 218 St. Clair Avenue which is the street directly in line with the planned new bridge to Front Street. I am resistant to the new bridge connecting to St. Clair Avenue. I fear that would bring considerable heavier traffic through a quiet residential neighborhood. If the bridge at that location becomes a mandate, then I request making St. Clair a dead end street where it intersects with Williams Avenue.</p>	Mailed Comment
2	Doris Whatley	<p>Enclosed you will find my suggestion as to where a “temporary” (permanent one is needed). It is a Facebook post that I made in January 2016. I appreciate your taking it into serious consideration. Feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.</p> <p>There has been much discussion on Facebook regarding the needed “temporary” (aka permanent!) bridge in Natchitoches. Here is my suggestion... Which I can’t believe hasn’t been considered because it just seems to make sense in a view of the traffic patterns of the city.</p>	Mailed Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTS	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
			<p>Put a bridge from Mill Street to Royal Street! (Where I've drawn a red line on the map below.) Then people could easily get to Highway 1 South (which is currently being widened to include turn lanes), to Keyser Avenue (west end via Hwy. 1 or east end via Royal), and to the hospital or doctors' offices in the area (E. 5th St. & Bienville St.). This would also allow a quick exit via Hwy. 1 South for our out-of-town Christmas festival and NSU football game guests. What do you all think of this alternative?</p> <p>(I added this additional suggestion as a comment under my post (previous page) but it wouldn't print out correctly.)</p> <p>Close the east end of Royal, making it a dead end... with NO THRU traffic! Also, it could be "No Left Turn" from the bridge onto Williams Ave., so that drivers would have to get to Keyser by turning left OR right onto Hwy. 1 South. (There also could be no right turn onto Williams Ave. if necessary.)</p>	
3	Natalie Covher		<p>I highly oppose the Highland Park Bridge option because of the new construction, because of the city council meetings that I've attended where the woman was like "y'all are going to do it there, tell me now." She's gone ahead with construction. I really think it's a dangerous curve and I think that it would be a very bad decision to put that bridge there because of potential car accidents, it's almost a guaranteed.</p> <p>I am very for the skewed bridge option. I really like the skewed bridge option. It not aligning with St. Clair right now is a huge problem. The signs that they put up, people stick out, it's terrible to come from south going north on Williams trying to get through that intersection because people are constantly popping out of St. Clair randomly, and I just go around it. So if we could line that bridge up, that would be great. And then I understand that it would take longer to do if there was taking it down to one lane so having the temporary two lane bridge is an option that I see as viable and would be able to say is a good option. Thank you</p>	Recorded Comment
4	Brandi Bradford		<p>Question: As the owner of 1206 Williams, next door to Highland Park option, I need to know how would potential bridge project affect my property value in interim before decision is made. I would have to disclose this to a potential buyer in next 5 years, it would lower my value & need to know if state covers costs to me being unable to sell it due to this process?</p> <p>Will be providing full comments, but need answer to this specific question soon. Thx!</p>	Written Comment
5	Douglas L. Cain		<p>Implement skewed bridge option. Demolish present bridge & build new bridge in approximately 10 months. No temporary bridges, etc! We'll be miserable for 10 months.</p> <p>Building temporary bridge & permanent bridge option will take 16 months. The building process is extend. I do not believe it is worth it.</p>	Written Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTS	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
			I look forward to the 10 month plan being considered & implemented.	
6	Kendal Perkins		Church Street bridge needs... MUST tie in to the end of St. Clair to clean up that intersection. The design of the bridge should be aesthetically beautiful! That means more than just wrought iron railings & antique light poles. It should be a beautiful structure in its own right.	Written Comment
7	Martie Cain		It seems to me it would be best to get the bridge done as quickly as possible without a temporary bridge. If the skewed alignment to St. Clair was done with the old bridge torn down & a new bridge built there, traffic would be terrible for a short length of time—perhaps a year. Any of the other options will take many years and that means many years of terrible traffic. Let's bite the bullet and get this done. The safety of our citizens should be important. I traveled on the existing bridge this afternoon when it was full of cars & truck & it was frightening thinking how structurally unsound it currently is.	Written Comment
8	-		Noise from Williams—huge amount of noise on Washington for residents. Can hear from Williams side of river. Large trucks/ supply vehicles will have to pass through area of large oak trees seen in Steel Magnolias. Will impact oak trees with large trucks. Re-route into residential area with low trees. No sidewalks at Washington & Williams Street. With bridge there people will cross & have no safe area to walk. On other side lots of joggers/dog walking/ kids in these neighborhoods.	Written Comment
9	-		Highland Park was unanimous. Why is it an option of the community & all city council voted against funding in this location. Record turnout at meeting. Everyone spoke against this location for many reasons.	Written Comment
10	Scott Williams		If you put an intersection on a curve you will have an unacceptably high number of accidents.	Written Comment
11	Charles Youngblood		Really like proposal #2- Alignment of St. Clair St. with new diagonal bridge. Think this will work!	Written Comment
12	Erica Durr		With respect to plans on the table re: proceeding w/o a temporary bridge—need to enlarge the scope of impact to consider traffic concerns on Highway 1 South Bridge.	Written Comment
13	Jamie Williams		Worried about Highland Park effect on downtown business, drawing cars away from downtown area. -Should not take land/property/homes from residents! -Move traffic in Highland residential area and Williams where children and pets reside is a concern. -Like the fix where bridges will line up better with St. Claire subdivision. -Pleased with Toulain bridge if no homes destroyed or residential areas impacted.	Written Comment
14	Cherie Dodd		First thank you for keeping us informed. I am the lot/home owner at option #1- 1128 Williams Ave. We were never informed of what has now been in the works since 1999 until we applied for a demo permit from the city, a month after purchase from the previous owners.	Written Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTS	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
			<p>“Imagine the deflation of dreams that rung through our family?!!!”</p> <p>A relocation to Natchitoches and – the rest of ours days has been a lifelong dream of my other half. She retired and I had one stipulation- we buy in town (city girl). And we found our diamond- 1128 Williams- almost immediately.</p> <p>So you see- this is no investment property. This is a dream home, built on a lifetime of hopes. My 6.5 year old boy, myself, & my other half support the need in the community & intent on being supportive of it. However, at the same time, we wish you to see the emotional, mental, financial, & physical commitment we have made in realizing our dream, not just for ourselves but for our sons future.</p> <p>Please don’t demolish our dreams with option #1 Highland Park.</p>	
15	R.M. Sayers		<p>After looking at all the options it seems that the best alternative is for the Church Street Bridge to be completely replaced with a new bridge and skew it to align with the St. Clair St. The old bridge is dangerous! Also, no one likes dealing with that odd little jog between the bridge and St. Clair, what with people coming off at St. Clair and coming halfway into the intersection. I don’t take Williams and the Church Street Bridge to go home after being on the east side of town/ just for those reasons- which are both safety issues of course. If we need a temporary bridge, I’m not favoring Highland for more reasons than one but a big one is that that bridge may not be temporary after all. Hope that Touline would be a bit better but don’t know for sure. I want what is best and safe for all citizens of Natchitoches.</p>	Written Comment
16	Emily Harrington		<p>I support the temporary bridge option on Touline.</p> <p>I also support the permanent bridge option that lines up the new bridge with St. Clair Avenue.</p>	Written Comment
17	Diane M. Dodd		<p>I vote for the temporary bridge to be located at Touline St. If the temp bridge is at 1128 Williams Ave the bridge will not be temp. It will turn into a permanent bridge which is a bad idea for the community. However a temp bridge in the historic district would for sure be a temp bridge and removed in the timeframe it take to re-do the Church Street Bridge.</p>	Written Comment
18	Edd Lee		<p>Whatever location decision is made, the city and the state need build a temporary bridge and strongly consider making the temporary bridge a permanent bridge to accommodate long term growth for city.</p>	Written Comment
19	Timothy Gunther		<p>We are very supportive of the skewed alignment option with a temporary bridge at Touline.</p> <p>Pros for Touline:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your project will invariably encourage the city to clean up & pretty up the pump station structure on the Williams side. 2. On the Front Street side it would tie into Touline which feed back to 2nd Street, a main cross town 	Written Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		artery. Cons for Highland: Williams side is likely a freeway. The posted 40 MPH limit is rarely obeyed and that curve has been the scene of two bad accidents since 2005. On the Washington side, there is no cross town street like Second. It basically routes traffic back to an iffy neighborhood & there's a hill crest on Washington that has again been the scene of several bad wrecks. Thanks for having this event!!	
20		-Relocate west end of detour at Toulaine to allow access to riverfront. -Vibration monitoring at Church & Front -Pre-construction site video & photographs	Written Comment
21	Charlotte Merschbrock	I would like to see this project accomplished without building temporary bridges. Also, I prefer Figure 1 with the skewed alignment.	Written Comment
22	Roger Merschbrock	I would prefer the 1B arrangement (skewed bridge) (Toulaine temporary)	Written Comment
23	Tommie Durr	I think that it would be advantageous to build it in stages and not build a temporary bridge at all. I also worry about potential sewer issues if you build a temporary bridge near Toulaine due to – pipes, lines and old outdated lines. I feel that those would rupture all along Toulaine all the way to Church Street.	Written Comment
24	Doug Hollingsworth	The only bridge that makes any sense is the (Figure 1)- Less impact to residents in this area. No north bridge thanks	Written Comment
25	Lisa Abney	No North Williams Bridge. This will adversely affect our property values and create true public safety issues. We do not need another bridge near the historic district. I like the skewed Church St. bridge idea, but please do not build a temp or permanent bridge on N. Williams/Highland.	Written Comment
26	Kim Wright	Based on the information given tonight I will support tearing down the Church Street down completely with no temporary bridge. Use the monies flagged for the temporary bridge to expedite the replacement of the Church Street Bridge. Also, find a way to expedite the EA to push the project along. No more meetings, consultants, studies, etc. The job needs to start now and be finished in a quality and timely manner. The least amount of time and money spend on this project will be most beneficial to our community. 2024 seems like a really long time before a new bridge is complete. There will be people affected no matter what. Time is of the essence.	Written Comment
27	Mike Barr	-The 10 day interval for written comments is not sufficient. A 30 day interval between meeting and receipt of comments is more appropriate. -The location of the current Church St bridge is not appropriate for any commercial truck traffic.	Written Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		-The preliminary meeting did little to address concerns of impact to historic district buildings. Route concerns are significant and emotional, but not necessarily the most significant.	
28	John H. Self	Build bridge at Highland Park to prevent pile driving at Front St & Touline St. This would cause damage to old buildings.	Written Comment
29	Michael Yankowski	Proposal 1 seems to be the most logical choice as it: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does not disturb an existing home 2. Alleviates the turn/lights problem at St. Clair 3. Is a direct route to many schools & businesses such as St. Mary's & Walmart 4. Does not create a dangerous turn on an existing curve on Williams 	Written Comment
30	Joanne Yankowski	In my opinion the temporary bridge should be from Touline to Williams as you would not be disrupting anyone's house. The permanent bridge should be straight across to St. Clair as that would eliminate the problem that currently exists with traffic coming from St. Clair onto the bridge.	Written Comment
31	Ray Durham	<p>After reviewing the proposed options I feel that the best choice would be to utilize a temporary bridge at Touline St so the Church St bridge replacement will take less time and have less disruption of normal city traffic patterns.</p> <p>It will it be considerably more expensive to utilize the Highland Park location due to the need to purchase property and deal with litigation arising from Natchitoches residents. Since Touline is still in the downtown historic district all of the traffic will essentially be routed to the same area as our current bridge. I believe this is a HUGE reason to have a Touline St bridge so our downtown businesses do not lose access to the tourists who are in town. If we are routing them to Highland Park tourists (and locals) may not make the effort to come back downtown to do business in the historic district. The traffic flow on Williams will not be much different from existing conditions because the bridge intersection will be close to the current bridge and minimize traffic issues and delays. According to the DOTD engineers at the 12/07/17 meeting there should not be a drastic additional cost to have a Touline St temporary bridge versus staged construction on the existing bridge (because of the additional construction timeline). They commented that staged construction could add about 1.5 million to the cost of the new bridge and the temporary bridge would cost about 2 million. I believe the downtown businesses would easily lose more than \$500,000 in lost revenue if we do not have the direct access of the temporary bridge at Touline.</p> <p>I also feel it is necessary to adjust the bridge alignment to resolve the traffic issues at the St Clair/Williams intersection. This will alleviate the issues the city has with the current intersection and make the traffic flow MUCH safer with a minimal project cost difference.</p> <p>There are a large number of residents who believe a bridge should be installed connecting Hargis Rd to North</p>	Mailed Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTS	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
			Williams to remove thru traffic (going to the east side of town) from the downtown historic district- instead of utilizing any temporary bridge. There is another group of residents who maintain it would be better to have the additional bridge across Cane River south of town near the junction of South Drive and the Hwy 1 Bypass. While these both could prove to be very beneficial to the city I do not believe that either should become a part of this project.	
32		Alice Bryant	<p>The proposed skewed alignment would be preferred (figure 1). If the replacement is built on existing alignment (figure 2) then St. Clair should revert back to no thru street as before.</p> <p>Temporary bridge at Touline is preferred (figure 4). It doesn't disrupt neighborhoods and would remain temporary probably. Fig 3- Highland Park runs into residential problems- both sides of river and there is a fear it would become permanent.</p> <p>On another note: the traffic speed limit on Williams Ave needs to be reduced from 50 mph to 40 mph at the north end and reduced from 40 to 30 mph as it moves closer into town.</p>	Mailed Comment
33		Bill Bryant	<p>I am in favor of proposal #1-bridge aligned with St. Clair (if this is not the proposed accepted, St. Clair should either have no access to Williams or be one way going in with no from St. Clair to Williams.</p> <p>I am in favor of fig 4- temp bridge from Touline to Williams.</p>	Mailed Comment
34		John G. Williams	<p>I am a native of Natchitoches, La and except for 3 yrs. Of undergraduate school at LSU & 3 yrs law school at Touline and three and a half years in the Marine Corps, I have lived here all my life. My comments on the proposed replacement of the Church Street Bridge are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A) The proposed temporary bridge – from Highland Park Road across Cane River to Williams Ave is not only ludicrous, ridiculous down down-right dangerous. I am sure you have heard all the reasons against this site so I will not waste your time with more. B) If a temporary bridge is necessary the Touline to Williams Ave. looks to be the best route. C) Suggestion: No temporary bridge- shut down the Church St. Bridge- tear it down- replace it- and make everyone mad. In a year they will have forgotten about. 	Mailed Comment
35		William Luster	I am against the proposed "temporary" bridge at Highland Park. I support the replacement bridge on skewed alignment.	Mailed Comment
36		R.M. & J.E. Robeau	<p>Please read attached letter dated 12/10/17 from the below property owners.</p> <p>As co-owners of the home at the above address, we attended the conference on 12/07/17 at the Events Center; and spoke at length with engineers for the project regarding the bridge replacement, including the potential location of a temporary bridge at Stephens Ave.</p>	Mailed Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		<p>First, we understand the need for a new permanent bridge, and the benefits of shifting the angle to intersect directly with St. Clair. We support that change; and a traffic circle makes good sense as well; with one concern: the likely impedance of traffic in this circle when heavy traffic “backs up” from the traffic signal at Front & Church streets. There are several changes that can improve that, including eliminating left turns from all four directions at this intersection.</p> <p>Second, if a temporary bridge is erected; we understand and accept the placement near the intersection of Stephens and Williams Ave. It would have the least impact on the community, even though impacting our home temporarily. We will not resist this location, if a temporary bridge is required. When considering the inconvenience of our suggested option [next], keep in mind the inconvenience of constructing a temporary bridge with intersection modifications on Williams Ave and Front St.</p> <p>We suggest considering the option of having no temporary bridge, nor building the new bridge in sections/stages to maintain traffic flow on it. That is, remove the old bridge all at once, eliminating all traffic flow from Williams Ave to Front Street in the downtown area during the construction of the new bridge, which we were told can be done in nine months.</p> <p>We suggest strong consideration of this option of closing the existing Church St. bridge removing it all at once, and erecting the new bridge all at once; coupled with not building a temporary bridge; but forcing traffic over the other two bridges in Natchitoches. This option initially might seem to be a poor option; however consider the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would save significant taxpayer dollars for construction/removal of a temporary bridge with intersection modifications on both sides of Cane River lake 2. Due to the resulting inconvenience [traffic flow interruptions] on Williams Ave during construction of the new permanent bridge, all N. Williams Ave traffic would need to be funneled onto E. 5th St. anyway. Keep in mind that a temporary bridge at Williams and Stephens will experience impedance caused by the construction of the new bridge at Williams and St. Clair. With the temporary bridge, most of the east Natch residents will be using E. 5th St and Stephens to get to the temporary bridge, sending much traffic on Stephens Ave, a narrow residential street with many cross streets. It’s only 3/10 mile further on E. 5th St to Kaiser Ave, and then west to Kaiser Ave bridge, which are both designed to handle high volume traffic, with traffic signals at major intersections. Why build a temporary bridge [likely needing temporary traffic signals] to save 3/10 mile driving on E. 5th St, and 3/10 mile driving on Jefferson to return to the same point? 3. Flow over the Kaiser Ave. bridge could be maximized by simple modifications, including human traffic directors during high volume traffic movement [the good old days when police or other traffic 	

COMMENT #	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		<p>directors turned off the traffic signals and directed by hand or sign; or police operating the signals remotely]. This would only be necessary for a few hours per day during high volume traffic.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Detour signs at various intersection to funnel traffic toward the other two bridges [Kaiser Ave @ Jefferson St & Williams Ave; and the Hwy 1 South bridge @ the Fish Hatchery]. For example: traffic in east Natch, south of Kaiser Ave [Medical center traffic and residential neighborhoods], could be directed south on Hwy 1 to cross that bridge, turn right, travel Rapides Dr. and Mill St, to Jefferson St and University Pkwy. 5. Also detour signs at the Hwy 1 Bypass emphasizing thru traffic to use the bypass instead of passing through the city center. 6. The above diversions would take some of the extra traffic away from the Kaiser Ave Bridge, which would be handling the traffic flow coming from that part of east Natch located north of Kaiser Ave. These are but a few of the temporary changes in traffic flow that could be implemented with signage; and eliminate congestion on Williams Ave and the new Church St. bridge under construction. 7. Inform the citizens of the temporary traffic diversions via news articles, notices in monthly bills for utilities, signage, etc; encouraging them to find other means of passing around the city center, unless they have "business" in the city center. It appears that the vast majority of the traffic crossing the Church St. Bridge is only "passing through" and can find other ways to do so via the other two bridges and the Hwy 1 bypass. <p>I suggest trying to "sell" this idea based on the tax-savings of not constructing/removing a temporary bridge, avoiding the damage and inconvenience of building such, and the shortened construction time that can avoid impacting the Christmas Festival season. The same tax savings, and shortened construction time, can be applied to the option of removing the old bridge at once, and building the new one unimpeded by trying to maintain flow across the bridge during construction. The cost is an extra 6/10 mile of driving, which adds about 1.5 minutes to travel time, only for traffic whose destination is the downtown area or north of it.</p> <p>These thoughts and suggestions have little or nothing to do with our own personal inconvenience at our home. We will have construction near us no matter which option is used. It is about what is best for the community at large [including impact on the Christmas festival, environmental impact of building a temp bridge, or trying to maintain traffic flow across a bridge under construction]; and the taxpayers bill; whether state, federal, and/or local taxes.</p>	
37	Sam W. Nelken	<p>I humbly ask that the DOTD reject the Highland Park bridge. I looked at the "Project Study Area" that Fenstermaker did. This study seems to focus on West Natchitoches, but the problem areas will be on the East side. East Natchitoches is and has been a 100% residential neighborhood for more than fifty years. Most of the streets are old and skinny with the houses built very close to the street, some of them still have open</p>	Mailed Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTS	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		<p>ditches. There are also a lot of older citizens living on these streets. The detour of 10,000 cars a day down these streets including ambulances and fire trucks will make it very difficult for these citizens to get to other streets or even being able to pull out of their driveways.</p> <p>East Fifth Street has three schools on it that have also been there for over fifty years. Therefore there are already a lot of cars going to these schools on a daily basis that have to navigate two four-way stop intersections along with children walking to the schools. I am not an engineer but as a citizen of this neighborhood I just don't see how we can over come these issues.</p> <p>I mailed this letter to our local paper: Dear Letter to the Editor,</p> <p>I have always been told that you cannot fight City Hall, but here I am just another bird on a wire. We all know the City wants to put a bridge on north Williams Avenue, the question is why?</p> <p>When my wife and I purchased our home in 1996 I did so knowing that Whitfield Drive was north of the Church St. bridge and was a very quiet street. Since that time progress has happened with the Whitfield and St. Clair extensions and our street now has a lot more traffic on it than it used to. Also when we first purchased our home the fireworks along with our street being closed used to happen on Christmas Festival day and the Fourth of July. Now we have fireworks every Saturday night along with our street being closed from the middle of November all the way through New Years plus the Fourth of July and other events that I am sure will develop with the new downtown improvements and stage. I read in the paper where the Mayor likes to use the phrase "quality of life" when talking about projects that the City is in favor of, so I hope when he uses that phrase he is talking about the entire City. Please let me be clear this project is not about me; mine is just one story to tell. If this proposed bridge is built in the north Williams Ave. location, ti will have a detrimental effect on most of the citizens of east Natchitoches forever.</p> <p>Now back to the matter we are presented with at this time. A year or so ago I read in the paper where the City Council made a unanimous NO vote to stop spot zoning on Stephen Avenue. According to the paper Mr. Dale Neilson and Mr. Don Mims both spoke of the importance of Stephens Avenue remaining residential and keeping the neighborhoods intact. The reason I bring this vote up is how many additional cars do you this this business would have produced on this street? Let's be generous and say 10-20? About a year ago a group of concerned citizen voiced opposition to this bridge project and the City Council agreed yet here we are again. So I am confused, how is the proposed bridge project any different than the Councils NO vote on Stephen Avenue? You are still trying to put in a permanent bridge on North Williams that will dump 8-10 thousand cars a day into a very well established residential neighborhood and school district. I just don't understand. I love</p>	

COMMENT #	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		<p>my home and my neighborhood, and I would really like to keep enjoying my quality of life for years to come.</p> <p>I will end with this quote from John Muir “Not blind opposition to progress, but opposition to blind progress...”</p>	
38	Charles M. Barr	<p>Comments and Questions concerning the proposed project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period of time between the public meeting held in Natchitoches on December 7th, and the deadline for submission of comments is much too short. It is unreasonable for the DOTD to expect well thought out concerns and questions in only 10 days. This short comment period only serves to create the perception that the collection of comments is only done to fill a square not collect meaningful questions and suggestions. • The information presented to the public, and I was in attendance, did not address questions of possible seismic damage to historic structures located immediately next to the proposed locations for the new bridge. • The information provided did not address these same seismic questions for construction of the “temporary” bridge, no matter the final selection of where the temporary bridge may be built. • When I questioned DOTD personnel present at the meeting about seismic concerns they agreed these were valid questions. I was informed that the State would be measuring the seismic activity, but when I asked if that information would be provided to property owners next to the project no one could, or would, give me any assurance the information would be shared. This is a significant problem. • The structures on the southwest corner of the Front St and Church St intersection (the old hotel and opera house) were built prior to the War Between the States. Owners of these properties have reason to be concerned about possible damage, and they deserve to know who and how they will be compensated for damage to their property. • Why is a bridge for a State highway even located on Church St. to begin with? During the 19th Century when the first bridge was built this may have been the correct location. However, today having heavy truck traffic navigating through the narrow streets of what is now officially a Historic District containing small businesses and residences imply makes no sense. Build a new bridge capable of handling commercial traffic common on LA Hwy 6 somewhere north of the existing bridge. A bridge at the end of the by-pass makes much more sense. • The Purpose and Need statement provided in the public meeting is not sufficient. The information provided at the public meeting stated “The purpose of the Cane River Bridge Church Street Environmental Assessment project is to address bridge deficiencies related to the age and design of the existing bridge crossing along Church Street over Cane River, as well as to address functional deficiencies related to the traffic movement through the existing intersection of Church Street, 	Mailed Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTER	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		<p>Williams Avenue, and St. Clair Avenue.” This purpose statement does not address the impact the project will have on the Natchitoches Historic District. This is an important aspect and should not be overlooked.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public information made no mention of any studies of the traffic flow. Will the new 3-lane bridge increase traffic along Front St? If so, what impact will the increased traffic have on the existing brick street? How will this be addressed? The traffic study should also include the impact on that portion of Williams Ave between the East end of the bridge and Keyser Ave. How will increased traffic on that section of Williams be handled? • How will the environmental study address the impact of the expected increased traffic noise from the increase in commercial traffic for those living in the Nakatosh Condominiums located at the corner of Church and Front Street? • There is no evidence of any plans for the study to evaluate the impact on those owning, living, and conducting business in the Nakatosh Condominiums. How do you measure the suitability of continued permanent residence with an increase in commercial traffic, not only in the Condominiums but also along Williams St.? If the additional temporary traffic would be a problem for those north of the Church St. and St Clair Ave. intersection, wouldn't the permanent increase in traffic on Williams south of the intersection be even worse? <p>All in all, this entire project does not seem well thought out. The appearance is that expedient solutions to a true problem are all that has been proposed. As it stands now, the only one of the presented solutions that is acceptable is the “No Build” option.</p>	
39	Brandi Bradford	<p>Here is a brief summary of my comments after the meeting, based on both the options presented and the discussions we had with both residents and EA staff that night:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. My strong preference (expressed by several residents I’ve spoken to since) is the option that was not actually on the list or in presentation, but was discussed at length during the meeting...to not take time and cause additional construction and inconvenience the residents by simply re-routing traffic to Keyser Ave/pine St bridge and down 3rd street to university to cross the river, and be finished with emotive restoration of church street bridge in less than one year. 2. Second preference to do the one lane shut down of church street bridge and reroute it slightly into direct 4 way stop to St Clair. 3. Third preference to do Touline street temp bridge and so adjusted angle of bridge to St Clair. <p>All three of these options will include some construction delays for the whole community, but none of them</p>	Emailed Comment

COMMENT #	COMMENTS	COMMENT	COMMENT TYPE
		<p>require removal of anyone’s homes or futures here in Natchitoches.</p> <p>I’d also ask you as part of the assessment and motivation measures for any option chosen to discuss/include analysis of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The mitigation measure to add an ambulance staging point at downtown fire station. This would help mitigate any rerouting issues with emergency services during construction...and would likely be helpful in any scenario chosen. This way there would be ambulances and fire trucks based on both sides of bridge, utilizing 5th Street and Church Street fire stations and the hospital. 2. Need to get clarification from the city council on the actual comments and result of the two previous votes on the Highland bridge location. The discussion at the meeting where Dax noted the vote had been “only about funding land purchase” is inaccurate, as was expressed by many people at the Dec 7 meeting who were in attendance at both previous city council votes. The overwhelming community response to the Highland Park temporary bridge location was WE DO NOT WANT IT! This is for a variety of reasons, but safety of the neighborhood and effects of increased traffic on quality of life for entire area east of the river were top among them. 3. Need to consider effects of this process (projected 5 years to decision and 1-2 years of additional construction) on property values for nearby homeowners to any of these site options. The only ones that shouldn’t affect property values are the options listed that don’t involve building bridges, which is one reason many comments you likely have received are for the rerouting and/or same location/one lane at Church Street, Toulain Road options, which don’t take anyone’s home or quality of life away during the interim. <p>Thank you for considering these comments, and please let me know that you received them with a quick email response.</p>	

TO: Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator - Section 28
P. O. Box 9425
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9245

November 15, 2017

Gentlemen -

In response to the article presented in the Natchitoches Times, I send this transmittal as I may not be available for the December 07, 2017 meeting scheduled at the Events Center, McCullen Hall.

I realize the bridge on Church Street is in need of repair. I am prepared for some inconvenience but would like to express my thinking on the final bridge position. I think the thought is to keep Church Street Bridge in place.

I see no cause to legitimately construct a new bridge and call it "temporary". If a bridge is built, it probably cost substantially the same to be permanent as it is to be temporary.. What is the harm in having two bridges in proximity of down town Natchitoches? What ever amount of funds are required to construct a temporary fixture would be funds toward a new permanent structure.

I am in total agreement with a new traffic bridge. I would like to see the current Church Street bridge maintained as a "foot traffic" bridge, as that would be an asset to downtown as well as to the town east of the bridge. It would be an asset to my neighborhood. My address is 218 St. Clair Avenue which is the street directly in line with the planned new bridge to Front Street. I am resistant to the new bridge connecting to St. Clair Avenue. I fear that would bring considerable heavier traffic through a quiet residential neighborhood. If the bridge at that location becomes a mandate, then I request making St. Clair a dead end street where it intersects with Williams Avenue.

Sincerely,


Joseph M. Baker

218 St. Clair Avenue
Natchitoches, La. 71457

Doris Salard Whatley
1030 Collins Rd.
Natchitoches, LA 71457

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245

RE: CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X:
STATE PROJECT NO. H001271; FEDERAL PROJECT NO. H001271;
NATCHITOCHE PARISH, LOUISIANA

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed you will find my suggestion as to where a "temporary" (permanent one is needed). It is a Facebook post that I made in January 2016. I appreciate your taking it into serious consideration. Feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.

Sincerely,
Doris Salard Whatley
318-354-2660 (home)
318-554-8208 (cell)

**Please text upon receipt, or email @doriswhatley@aol.com*



Doris Salard Whatley


318-354-2660(h) 318-554-8208(c)

Jan 27, 2016 at 11:27am

Re: Cane River Bridge- Church Street Route LA 1-X
State Project No. H001271;
Federal Project No. H001271;
Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

There has been much discussion on Facebook regarding the needed "temporary" (aka permanent!) bridge in Natchitoches. Here is my suggestion... Which I can't believe hasn't been considered because it just seems to make sense in view of the traffic patterns of the city.

Put a bridge from Mill Street to Royal Street! (Where I've drawn a red line on the map below.) Then people could easily get to Highway 1 South (which is currently being widened to include turn lanes), to Keyser Avenue (west end via Hwy. 1 or east end via Royal), and to the hospital or doctors' offices in the area (E. 5th St. & Bienville St.). This would also allow a quick exit via Hwy. 1 South for our out-of-town Christmas festival and NSU football game guests. What do you all think of this alternative? 😊



Re: Cane River Bridge Church Street Route LA 1-X:
State Project No. H001271; Federal Project No. H001271;
Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana

(I added this additional suggestion as a comment under my post (previous page) but it wouldn't print out correctly.)

Close the east end of Royal, making it a dead end...with NO THRU traffic! Also, it could be "No Left Turn" from the bridge onto Williams Ave., so that drivers would have to get to Keyser by turning left OR right onto Hwy 1. South. (There also could be no right turn onto Williams Ave. if necessary.)

Sincerely,
Doris Salard Whatley
1030 Collins Rd.
Natchitoches, LA 71457
318-354-2660 (home)
318-554-8208 (cell)

Recording Folder D

01/01 1. Natalie Covher

318 438 0909

NCovhere@gmail.com

I highly oppose the Highland Park Bridge option because of the new construction, because of the city council meetings that I've attended where the woman was like "y'all are going to do it there, tell me now." She's gone ahead with construction. I really think it's a dangerous curve and I think that it would be a very bad decision to put that bridge there because of potential car accidents, it's almost a guaranteed.

I am very for the skewed bridge option. I really like the skewed bridge option. It not aligning with St. Clair right now is a huge problem. The signs that they put up, people stick out, it's terrible to come from south going north on Williams trying to get through that intersection because people are constantly popping out of St. Clair randomly, and I just go around it. So if we could line that bridge up, that would be great. And then I understand that it would take longer to do if there was taking it down to one lane so having the temporary two lane bridge is an option that I see as viable and would be able to say is a good option. Thank you

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Question:

As the owner of 1206 Williams next door to Highland Park option, I need to know ~~what~~ how would potential bridge project affect my property value in interim before decision is made. I would have to disclose this to a potential buyer in next 5 years, ~~but~~ it would lower my value; need to know if state covers costs to me being unable to sell it due to this process?

Will be providing full comments, but need answer to this specific question soon.

Thx!

Name: Brandi Bradford

rangerbrandi@yahoo.com

Address: 1206 Williams Ave

Email: 512-971-9518

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Implement
skewed Bridge option,

Demolish present Bridge & build new bridge
in approximately 10 months.

No temporary bridges, etc.!

We'll be miserable for 10 months.

Building Temporary bridge & permanent option
will take 16 months. The building process
is extend. I do not believe it is worth it.

I look forward to the 10 month plan
being considered & implemented.

Name: Douglas L. Cain

Address: 103 Live Oak Circle, 71457

Email: Druid48@yahoo.com

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

* Church street bridge needs... MUST tie in to the end of St. Claire to clean up that intersection.

* The design of the bridge should be aesthetically beautiful! That means more than just wrought iron railings & antique light poles. It should be a beautiful structure in its own right.

Name: ~~XXXXXXXX~~ Kendal Perkins
Address: 177 Shamard drive / Natchitoches, LA
Email: kendal.perkins@bellsouth.net

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

It seems to me it would be best to get the bridge done as quickly as possible without a temporary bridge. If the skewed alignment to St. Clair was done with the old bridge torn down & a new bridge built there, traffic would be terrible for a short length of time - perhaps a year - . Any of the other options will take many years and that means many years of terrible traffic. Let's bite the bullet and get this done. The safety of our citizens should be important. I traveled on the existing bridge this afternoon when it was full of cars & trucks & it was frightening thinking how structurally unsound it currently is.

Name: Martie Cain

Address: 103 Live Oak Circle

Email: martiecain@yahoo.com

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Noise from Williams - huge amount of noise on Washington for residents can hear from Williams side of River. Large trucks / supply vehicles will have to pass through area of large oak trees seen in Steel Magnolias will impact oak trees with large trucks re route into residential area with low trees. No sidewalks at Washington + Williams Streets, with bridge there people will cross + have no safe area to walk on other side lots of joggers / Dog walking / kids in these neighborhoods.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Email: _____

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Highland Park was unanimous. When
it is an option of the community of
all City Council voted against funding
in this location. Record turnout at
meeting. Everyone spoke against this
location for many reasons.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Email: _____

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Really like proposal #2 - Alignment of
St. Clair St. with new diagonal bridge.
Think this will work!

Best Regards

Charles P. Youngblood

Name:

Charles Youngblood

Address:

1508 East Lakeshore Dr. Natchitoches, La. 71457

Email:

Charles.youngblood562@gmail.com

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

with respect to plans on the table re: proceeding w/o a temp. bridge - need to enlarge the scope of impact to consider traffic concerns on Highway 1 South Bridge.

Name: Erica Durr

Address: 324 Gene Lane Natchitoches, LA

Email: EricaFDurr@gmail.com

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

- Worried about Highland Park effect on downtown business, drawing cars away from downtown area.
- Should not take land / property / homes from residents!
 - More traffic in Highland residential area and Williams where children and pets reside is a concern
 - like the fix where bridge will line up better with St. Claire subdivision.
 - pleased with Toulon bridge if no homes destroyed or residential areas impacted.

Name:

Julie

Address:

121 Williams

Email:

gabab@calypso.com

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

First thank you for keeping us informed. I am the lot/home owner at address #1 - 1128 Williams Ave. We were never informed of what has now been in the works since 1999 until we applied for a Deed Permit from the city, a month after purchase from the previous owners.

"Imagine the deflation of dreams that rung through our family 2/11"

A promotion to Natchitoches and we take part of our days has been a lifelong dream of my other half. She retired and I had one stipulation - we buy in town (city quiet) and we found our dream - 1128 Williams - almost instantly.

So you see - this is no investment property. This is a dream home built on a lifetime of hopes. My wife, our old son, myself & my other half support the need in the community & intend on being supportive of it. However, at the same time we would you to see the emotional, mental, financial & physical commitment we have made to in realizing our dream, not just for ourselves but for our sons future.

Name: Charles DODD

Address: 1128 Williams Ave.

Email: CS.DODD@

summerdesigns.com

PLEASE DON'T DEMOLISH OUR DREAMS WITH ADDRESS #1 HIGHLAND PARK.

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

After looking at all the options it seems that the best alternative is ^{for} the Church Street Bridge to be completely replaced with a new bridge and skew it to align with St. Clair St. The old bridge is dangerous! Also no one likes dealing with that odd little jog between the bridge and St. Clair what with people coming off of St. Clair and coming halfway into the intersection. I don't like Williams and the Church Street bridge to go home after being on the east side of town just for those reasons - which are both safety issues of course. If we need a temporary bridge I'm not favoring Highland ~~than~~ for more reasons than one but a big one is that that bridge may not be temporary after all. Hope that outline would be a bit better but don't know for sure. I want what is best and safe for all citizens of Nat chitoches

Name: R M Doyen

Address: _____

Email: walkeriver@earthlink.net

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I Support The temporary bridge option on TOWline.

I also support the permanent bridge option that lines up the new bridge with ST. Clair Avenue

Name: Emily Harrington
Address: 2003 ST. CLAIR AVE
Email: /

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I VOTE FOR THE TEMP BRIDGE TO BE LOCATED AT TOULINE ST. IF THE TEMP BRIDGE IS AT 1128 WILLIAMS AVE THE BRIDGE WILL NOT BE TEMP. IT WILL TURN INTO A PERMANENT BRIDGE WHICH IS A BAD IDEA FOR THE COMMUNITY. HOWEVER A TEMP BRIDGE IN THE HISTORIC DISTRICT WOULD FOR SURE BE A TEMP BRIDGE AND REMOVED IN THE TIME FRAME IT TAKES TO RE-DO THE CHURCH STREET BRIDGE.

Name: DIANE M. DODD

Address: 1128 WILLIAMS AVE

Email: ddodd123@verizon.net

Temp Address - 513 WATSON DR
NAT. LA 71457

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Whatever ^{location} decision is made, the City and State need build a temporary bridge and strongly consider making the temporary bridge a permanent bridge to accommodate long term growth for city.

Name: Edd Lee
Address: 850 Whitfield Drive
Email: eled@atchitochesla.gov

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

WE ARE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE SKEWED ALIGNMENT OPTION WITH A TEMPORARY BRIDGE AT TOULINE.

① PROS FOR TOULINE: YOUR PROJECT WILL INVARIABLY ENCOURGE THE CITY TO CLEAN-UP & PRETTY-UP THE PUMP STATION STRUCTURE ON THE WILLIAMS SIDE.

② ON THE FRONT STREET SIDE IT WOULD TIE INTO TOULINE WHICH FEED BACK TO 2ND STREET, A MAIN CROSS TOWN ARTERY.

CONS FOR HIGHLAND: WILLIAMS SIDE IS LIKE A FREEWAY.

THE POSTED 40 MPH LIMIT IS RARELY OBEYED AND THAT CURVE HAS BEEN THE SCENE OF TWO BAD ACCIDENTS SINCE 2005. ON THE WASHINGTON SIDE THERE IS NO CROSS TOWN STREET LIKE SECOND. IT BASICALLY ROUTES TRAFFIC BACK TO AN IFFY NEIGHBORHOOD & THERE'S A HILL CREST ON WASHINGTON THAT HAS AGAIN BE THE SCENE OF SEVERAL BAD WRECKS.

Name: TIMOTHY GUNTHER
Address: 1314 WILLIAMS AVE
Email: timothy_gunther@msn.com

THANKS FOR HAVING
THIS EVENT !!
..

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

*- RELOCATE WEST END OF DETOUR AT TOLLING
TO ALLOW ACCESS TO RIVER FRONT*

- VIBRATION MONITORING @ CHURCH! FRONT

- PRE-CONSTRUCTION SITE VIDEO + PHOTOGRAPHS -

Name: _____

Address: _____

Email: _____

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I would like to see this project accomplished without building temporary bridges. Also I prefer Figure 1 with the skewed alignment.

Name: Charlotte Merschbrock
Address: 102 Starlight Dr.
Email: emerschbrock@suddenlink.net

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I would prefer the 1B arrangement
(Skewed bridge)
(Turbine temporary)

Name: *Roger Merschlerock*
Address: *102 Starlight Drive*
Email: *rmerschlerock@suddenlink.net*

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I think that it would be advantageous to build it in stages and not build a temporary bridge at all. I also worry about potential sewer issues if you build a temporary bridge near Touline, due to subterranean pipe lines and old out dated lines. I feel that those would rupture all along Touline all the way to Church Street.

Name:

Tommye Durr

Address:

166 E Ridge Drive

Email:

TommyeDurr@gmail.com

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

The only bridge that makes any sense
is the (Figure 1) - less impact to residents
in this area -

~~any bridge~~

NO NORTH
BRIDGE

Thanks -

Name:

Doug Hollingsworth

Address:

913 Williams Ave

Email:

dhollingsworth@rpsol.org

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

No North Williams Bridge. This will adversely affect all property values and create true public safety issues. We do not need another bridge near the historic district. I like the skewed Church St. bridge idea but please do not build a temp or permanent bridge on N. Williams/Highland.

Name: Lisa Abney
Address: 913 Williams Ave
Email: dr.l.abney@gmail.com

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Based on the information given tonight I will support tearing down the Church Street down completely with NO temporary bridge. Use the monies flagged for the temporary bridge to expedite the replacement of the Church Street bridge. Also, find a way to expedite the EA to push the project along. No more meetings, consultants, studies, etc. The job needs to start now and be finished in a quality and timely manner. The least amount of TIME and MONEY spent on this project will be most beneficial to our community. 2024 seems like a really long time before a new bridge is complete. There will be people affected no matter what. Time is of the essence.

Name: Kirin Wright

Address: 1900 WILLIAMS AVENUE

Email: kwright637@aol.com

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

- The 10 day interval for written comments is not sufficient. A 30 day interval between meeting and receipt of comments is more appropriate.
- The location of the current Church St bridge is not appropriate for ANY commercial truck traffic.
- The preliminary meeting did little to address concerns of impact to historic district buildings. Route concerns are significant and emotional, but not necessarily the most significant.

Name: Mike Barr

Address: P.O. Box 2774, Natchitoches, LA (584 Front St)

Email: makatoshHOA@comcast.net

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Build Bridge at High Land Park to prevent
pile driving at Front St & Touline St
this would cause damage to old buildings

Name: Job H. Self

Address: 584 Front St Ste 104

Email: _____

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Proposal 1 seems to be the most logical choice
AS IT:
1. Does not disturb an existing home
2. Eliminates the turn/lights problem at St. Clair
3. is a direct route to many schools + businesses
such as St. Mary's + WALNUT
4. Does NOT create a dangerous turn on
an existing curve on Williams

Name: MICHAEL YANKOWSKI
Address: 1602 McClelland Dr
Email: YANKOWSKI@Bellsouth.NET

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

In my opinion the temporary bridge should be from Taylor to Williams as you would not be disrupting anyone's home. The permanent bridge should be straight across to St. Clair as that would eliminate the problem that currently exists with traffic coming from St. Clair on to the bridge.

Name:

Joanne Yankowski

Address:

1602 McClelland Dr.

Email:

jyankowski@gmail.com

December 8, 2017

DOTD,

After reviewing the proposed options I feel that the best choice would be to utilize a temporary bridge at Touline St so the Church St bridge replacement will take less time and have less disruption of normal city traffic patterns.

It will it be considerably more expensive to utilize the Highland Park location due to the need to purchase property and deal with litigation arising from Natchitoches residents. Since Touline is still in the downtown historic district all of the traffic will essentially be routed to the same area as our current bridge. I believe this is a HUGE reason to have a Touline St bridge so our downtown businesses do not lose access to the tourists who are in town. If we are routing them to Highland Park tourists (and locals) may not make the effort to come back downtown to do business in the historic district. The traffic flow on Williams will not be much different from existing conditions because the bridge intersection will be close to the current bridge. Utilizing the temporary bridge will speed up the construction process for replacing the existing bridge and minimize traffic issues and delays. According to the DOTD engineers at the 12/07/17 meeting there should not be a drastic additional cost to have a Touline St temporary bridge versus staged construction on the existing bridge (because of the additional construction timeline). They commented that staged construction could add about 1.5 million to the cost of the new bridge and the temporary bridge would cost about 2 million. I believe the downtown businesses would easily lose more than \$500,000 in lost revenue if we do not have the direct access of the temporary bridge at Touline.

I also feel it is necessary to adjust the bridge alignment to resolve the traffic issues at the St Clair/Williams intersection. This will alleviate the issues the city has with the current intersection and make the traffic flow MUCH safer with a minimal project cost difference.

There are a large number of residents who believe a bridge should be installed connecting Hargis Rd to North Williams to remove thru traffic (going to the east side of town) from the downtown historic district – instead of utilizing any temporary bridge. There is another group of residents who maintain it would be better to have the additional bridge across Cane River south of town near the junction of South Drive and the Hwy 1 Bypass. While these both could prove to be very beneficial to the city I do not believe that either should become a part of this project.

Thanks,

Ray Durham 455 Second St, Natchitoches LA 71457. 318-352-7311 ray@mikemurphyagent.com

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

The proposed Skewed Alignment would be preferred.
(Figure 1)

If the replacement is built on existing alignment (Figure 2) then
St Clair should revert back to no thru street as before

Temporary bridge at Touline is preferred (Fig 4)
It doesn't disrupt neighborhoods and would remain
temporary probably.

Fig 3 - Highland Park runs into residential problems - both sides
of river and there is a fear it would become permanent

Name: Alice Bryant *Natchitoches*
Address: 1404 Williams Ave 71457
Email: alicepbryant@gmail.com

on another note: the traffic
speed limit on Williams Ave
needs to be reduced from 50
to 40 mph at the north end and
reduced from 40 to 30 mph
as it moves closer into town

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.


PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I AM IN FAVOR OF PROPOSAL # 1
- BRIDGE ASIGNED w/ ST CLAIR
(if this is not the proposal accepted, ST Clair should either
have no access to Williams or be no way going in
w/out from ST Clair to Williams)

I AM IN FAVOR OF FIG 4 - Temp bridge
from Touling to Williams

Name: Bill BRYANT
Address: 1404 WILLIAM S
Email: billyjoebryant@gmail.com

Public Meeting Comment Form



Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I am a native of Natchitoches La. and except for 3 yrs. of Undergraduate school at LSU, 3 yrs. Law School at Tulane and three and a half years in the Marine Corps I have lived here all my life. My comments on the proposed replacement of the Church Street Bridge are as follows:

A) The proposed Temporary Bridge site from Highland Park Road across Cane River to William Ave is not only ludicrous, ridiculous but down-right dangerous. I am sure you have heard all the reasons against this site so I will not waste your time with mine.

B. If a Temporary Bridge is necessary the route to William Ave. looks to be the best route.

C) Suggestion: No Temporary Bridge. - Shut Down the Church St. Bridge - ~~Teat~~ Tear it Down - replace it - and make everyone ^{year} mad. In a year they will have forgotten about

Name: John G. Williams

Address: 1515 E Lakeshore Dr. Natchitoches,

Email: williams@nsula.edu

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

Please read attached letter, dated 12/10/17
from the below property owners

Name: R.M. and J.E. Robeau
Address: 501 Williams Ave, Natchitoches
Email: jerobeau@yahoo.com

Date: 12/10/17

To: Louisiana Dept of Transportation, Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28

From: Richard M. Robeau & J. Ed Robeau

501 Williams Ave. @ Stephens Ave.

Natchitoches, LA 71457

Subject: **Cane River Bridge [Church Street] Replacement in Natchitoches [H0011271]**

As co-owners of the home at the above address, we attended the conference on 12/07/17 at the Events Center; and spoke at length with engineers for the project regarding the bridge replacement, including the potential location of a temporary bridge at Stephens Ave.

First, we understand the need for a new permanent bridge, and the benefits of shifting the angle to intersect directly with St. Clair. We support that change; and a traffic circle makes good sense as well; with one concern: the likely impedance of traffic in this circle when heavy traffic "backs up" from the traffic signal at Front & Church streets. There are several changes that can improve that, including eliminating left turns from all four directions at this intersection.

Second, if a temporary bridge is erected; we understand and accept the placement near the intersection of Stephens and Williams Ave. It would have the least impact on the community, even though impacting our home temporarily. We will not resist this location, if a temporary bridge is required. When considering the inconvenience of our suggested option [next], keep in mind the inconveniences of constructing a temporary bridge with intersection modifications on Williams Ave and Front St.

We suggest considering the option of having no temporary bridge, nor building the new bridge in sections/stages to maintain traffic flow on it. That is, remove the old bridge all at once, eliminating all traffic flow from Williams Ave to Front Street in the downtown area during the construction of the new bridge, which we were told can be done in nine months.

We suggest strong consideration of this option of closing the existing Church St. bridge, removing it all at once, and erecting the new bridge all at once; coupled with not building a temporary bridge; but forcing all traffic over the other two bridges in Natchitoches. This option initially might seem to be a poor option; however consider the following:

1. It would save significant taxpayer dollars for construction/removal of a temporary bridge with intersection modifications on both sides of Cane River Lake.
2. Due to the resulting inconvenience [traffic flow interruptions] on Williams Ave during construction of the new permanent bridge, all N. Williams Ave traffic would need to be funneled onto E. 5th St anyway. Keep in mind that a temporary bridge at Williams and Stephens will experience impedance caused by the construction of the new bridge at Williams and St. Clair. With the temporary bridge, most of the east Natch residents will be using E. 5th St and Stephens to get to the temporary bridge, sending much traffic on Stephens Ave, a narrow residential street with many cross streets. It's only 3/10 mile


further on E. 5th St to Kaiser Ave, and then west to Kaiser Ave bridge, which are both designed to handle high volume traffic, with traffic signals at major intersections. Why build a temporary bridge [likely needing temporary traffic signals] to save 3/10 mile driving on E. 5th St, and 3/10 mile driving on Jefferson to return to the same point?

3. Flow over the Kaiser Ave. bridge could be maximized by simple modifications, including human traffic directors during high volume traffic movement [the good old days when police or other traffic directors turned off the traffic signals and directed by hand or sign; or police operating the signals remotely]. This would only be necessary for a few hours per day during high volume traffic.
4. Detour signs at various intersections to funnel traffic toward the other two bridges [Kaiser Ave @ Jefferson St & Williams Ave; and the Hwy 1 South bridge @ the Fish Hatchery]. For example: traffic in east Natch, south of Kaiser Ave [Medical center traffic and residential neighborhoods], could be directed south on Hwy 1 to cross that bridge, turn right, travel Rapides Dr. and Mill St, to Jefferson St and University Pkwy.
5. Also detour signs at the Hwy 1 Bypass emphasizing thru traffic to use the bypass instead of passing through the city center.
6. The above diversions would take some of the extra traffic away from the Kaiser Ave Bridge, which would be handling the traffic flow coming from that part of east Natch located north of Kaiser Ave. These are but a few of the temporary changes in traffic flow that could be implemented with signage; and eliminate congestion on Williams Ave and the new Church St. bridge under construction.
7. Inform the citizens of the temporary traffic diversions via news articles, notices in monthly bills for utilities, signage, etc; encouraging them to find other means of passing around the city center, unless they have "business" in the city center. It appears that the vast majority of the traffic crossing the Church St. Bridge is only "passing through" and can find other ways to do so via the other two bridges and the Hwy 1 bypass.

I suggest trying to "sell" this idea based on the tax-savings of not constructing/removing a temporary bridge, avoiding the damage and inconvenience of building such, and the shortened construction time that can avoid impacting the Christmas Festival season. The same tax savings, and shortened construction time, can be applied to the option of removing the old bridge at once, and building the new one unimpeded by trying to maintain flow across the bridge during construction. The cost is an extra 6/10 mile of driving, which adds about 1.5 minutes to travel time, only for traffic whose destination is the downtown area or north of it.

These thoughts and suggestions have little or nothing to do with our own personal inconvenience at our home. We will have construction near us no matter which option is used. It is about what is best for the community at large [including impact on the Christmas festival, environmental impact of building a temp bridge, or trying to maintain traffic flow across a bridge under construction]; and the taxpayer's bill; whether state, federal, and/or local taxes.


Richard M. Robeau


J. Ed Robeau

CANE RIVER BRIDGE CHURCH STREET ROUTE LA 1-X

Public Meeting Comment Form

Thank you for attending!

Your participation is vital to ensuring that decisions made about this proposed project reflect the needs of the community and are based on good information. Please take a moment to document your comments.

Before you leave tonight, please turn in your comment form to Station No. 5. You may also mail the comment form to the address below. Comments should be postmarked no later than **December 18, 2017** to be included in the meeting transcript.

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS:

I humbly ask that the DOTD reject the Highland Park bridge. I looked at the "Project Study Area" that Fenstermaker did. This study seems to focus on West Natchitoches, but the problem areas will be on the East side. East Natchitoches is and has been a 100% residential neighborhood for more than fifty years. Most of the streets are old an skinny with the houses built very close to the street, some of them still have open ditches. There are also a lot of older citizens living on these streets. The detour of 10,000 cars a day down these streets including ambulances and fire trucks will make it very difficult for these citizens to get to other streets or even being able to pull out of their driveways.

East Fifth Street has three schools on it that have also been there for over fifty years. Therefore there are already a lot of cars going to these schools on a daily basis that have to navigate two four-way stop intersections along with children walking to the schools. I am not an engineer but as a citizen of this neighborhood I just don't see how we can over come these issues.

Thank you,

Sam W. Nelken
309 Whitfield Dr.

Name: 

Address: _____

Email: _____

Dear Letter to the Editor,

I have always been told that you cannot fight City Hall, but here I am just another bird on a wire. We all know the City wants to put a bridge on north Williams Avenue, the question is why?

When my wife and I Purchased our home in 1996 I did so knowing that Whitfield Drive was north of the Church St. bridge and was a very quiet street. Since that time progress has happened with the Whitfield and St. Clair extensions and our street now has a lot more traffic on it than it used to. Also when we first purchased our home the fireworks along with our street being closed used to happen on Christmas Festival day and the Fourth of July. Now we have fireworks every Saturday night along with our street being closed from the middle of November all the way through New Years plus the Fourth of July and other events that I am sure will develop with the new downtown improvements and stage. I read in the paper where the Mayor likes to use the phrase "quality of life" when talking about projects that the City is in favor of, so I hope that when he uses that phrase he is talking about the entire City. Please let me be clear this project is not about me; mine is just one story to tell. If this proposed bridge is built in the north Williams Ave. location, it will have a detrimental effect on most of the citizens of east Natchitoches forever.

Now back to the matter we are presented with at this time. A year or so ago I read in the paper where the City Council made a unanimous NO vote to stop spot zoning on Stephens Avenue. According to the paper Mr. Dale Neilson and Mr. Don Mims both spoke of the importance of Stephens Avenue remaining residential and keeping the neighborhoods intact. The reason I bring this vote up is how many additional cars do you think this business would have produced on this street? Lets be generous and say 10-20? About a year ago a group of concerned citizens voiced opposition to this bridge project and the City Council agreed yet here we are again. So I am confused, how is the proposed bridge project any different than the Councils NO vote on Stephens Avenue? You are still trying to put in a permanent bridge on North Williams that will dump 8-10 thousand cars a day into a very well established residential neighborhood and school district. I just don't understand. I love my home and my neighborhood, and I would really like to keep enjoying my quality of life for years to come.

I will end with this quote from John Muir "Not blind opposition to progress, but opposition to blind progress..."

Thank you,
Sam W. Nelken

I mailed
this letter
to our local
Paper

CHARLES M. BARR
6121 Fern Ave Unit 88
Shreveport, LA 71105

December 11, 2017

Louisiana Department of Transportation
Environmental Engineer Administrator, Section 28,
P.O. Box 94245
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9245

Reference State Project No. H.001271, Cane River Bridge Project

Comments and Questions concerning the proposed project:

- The period of time between the public meeting held in Natchitoches on December 7th, and the deadline for submission of comments is much too short. It is unreasonable for the DOTD to expect well thought out concerns and questions in only 10 days. This short comment period only serves to create the perception that the collection of comments is only done to fill a square not collect meaningful questions and suggestions.
- The information presented to the public, and I was in attendance, did not address questions of possible seismic damage to historic structures located immediately next to the proposed locations for the new bridge.
- The information provided did not address these same seismic questions for construction of the "temporary" bridge, no matter the final selection of where the temporary bridge may be built.
- When I questioned DOTD personnel present at the meeting about seismic concerns they agreed these were valid questions. I was informed that the State would be measuring the seismic activity, but when I asked if that information would be provided to property owners next to the project no one could, or would, give me any assurance the information would be shared. This is a significant problem.


The structures on the southwest corner of the Front St and Church St intersection (the old hotel and opera house) were built prior to the War Between the States. Owners of these properties have reason to be concerned about possible damage, and they

deserve to know who and how they will be compensated for damage to their property.

- Why is a bridge for a State highway even located on Church St. to begin with? During the 19th Century when the first bridge was built this may have been the correct location. However, today having heavy truck traffic navigating through the narrow streets of what is now officially a Historic District containing small businesses and residences simply makes no sense. Build a new bridge capable of handling commercial traffic common on LA Hwy 6 somewhere north of the existing bridge. A bridge at the end of the by-pass makes much more sense.
- The Purpose and Need statement provided in the public meeting is not sufficient. The information provided at the public meeting stated “The purpose of the Cane River Bridge Church Street Environmental Assessment project is to address bridge deficiencies related to the age and design of the existing bridge crossing along Church Street over Cane River, as well as to address functional deficiencies related to the traffic movement through the existing intersection of Church Street, Williams Avenue, and St. Clair Avenue.” This purpose statement does not address the impact this project will have on the Natchitoches Historic District. This is an important aspect and should not be overlooked.
- Public information made no mention of any studies of the traffic flow. Will the new 3-lane bridge increase traffic along Front St? If so, what impact will the increased traffic have on the existing brick street? How will this be addressed? The traffic study should also include the impact on that portion of Williams Ave between the East end of the bridge and Keyser Ave. How will increased traffic on that section of Williams be handled?
- How will the environmental study address the impact of the expected increased traffic noise from the increase in commercial traffic for those living in the Nakatosh Condominiums located at the corner of Church and Front Streets?
- There is no evidence of any plans for the study to evaluate the impact on those owning, living, and conducting business in the Nakatosh Condominiums. How do you measure the suitability of continued permanent residence with an increase in commercial traffic, not only in the Condominiums but also along Williams St.? If the additional temporary traffic would be a problem for those north of the Church St. and St Clair Ave. intersection, wouldn't the **permanent** increase in traffic on Williams south of the intersection be even worse?

All in all, this entire project does not seem well thought out. The appearance is that expedient solutions to a true problem are all that has been proposed. As it stands now, the only one of the presented solutions that is acceptable is the "No Build" option.

Charles M. Barr

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Charles M. Barr". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned below the printed name.

584 Front St, #302 & #303

From: [Erin Buchanan](#)
To: [Brandi](#)
Cc: [Noel Ardoin](#); [Bray Williams](#); [Diane Dodd](#); smp.puryear@gmail.com; npjnatla@gmail.com; [Robin Daigle](#); [Maria Reid](#); [Elnur Musa](#)
Subject: Re: Comments on natchitoches church street bridge project (also known as highland bridge project)
Date: Monday, December 18, 2017 1:19:38 PM

Brandi,

Thank you for your email. Your comments regarding the Cane River (Church Street) Bridge project have been received.

Sincerely,

Erin Buchanan
Public Information Officer
LA Department of Transportation & Development
Bossier City, LA
Office: (318) 549-8402
Cell: (318) 347-2076
www.dotd.la.gov
erin.buchanan@la.gov

> On Dec 18, 2017, at 1:13 PM, Brandi <rangerbrandi@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

> Again apologies for phone autocorrect...mitigation measures...and I meant necessary restoration instead of emotive :) thx!

>

> "The future belongs to those who believe in the beauty of their dreams" Eleanor Roosevelt

>

>> On Dec 18, 2017, at 1:10 PM, Brandi <rangerbrandi@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>> Hi Noel and Erin,

>> It was good to meet you in person at the Dec. 7 meeting. Apologies for the brevity and any grammatical errors here...I'm having computer issues and sending these comments from my phone to ensure they arrive on time.

>>

>> Here is a brief summary of my comments after the meeting, based on both the options presented and the discussions we had with both residents and EA staff that night:

>>

>> 1. My strong preference (expressed by several residents I've spoken to since) is the option that was not actually on the list or in presentation, but was discussed at length during the meeting...to not take time and cause additional construction and inconvenience the residents by simply re-routing traffic to Keyser ave/pine st bridge and down 3rd street to university to cross the river, and be finished with emotive restoration of church street bridge in less than one year.

>>

>> 2. Second preference to do the one lane shut down of church street bridge and reroute it slightly into direct 4 way stop to st Clair.

>>

>> 3. Third preference to do toulain street temp bridge and so adjusted angle of bridge to st Clair.

>>

>> All three of these options will include some construction delays for the whole community, but none of them require removal of anyone's homes or futures here in natchitoches.

>>

>> I'd also ask you as part of the assessment and mitigation measures for any option chosen to discuss/include analysis of:

>> 1. The mitigation measure to add an ambulance staging point at downtown fire station. This would help mitigate

any rerouting issues with emergency services during construction...and would likely be helpful in any scenario chosen. This way there would be ambulances and fire trucks based on both sides of bridge, utilizing 5th street and church street fire stations and the hospital.

>>

>> 2. Need to get clarification from the city council on the actual comments and result of the two previous votes on the highland bridge location. The discussion at the meeting where Dax noted the vote had been "only about funding land purchase" is inaccurate, as was expressed by many people at the dec 7 meeting who were in attendance at both previous city council votes. The overwhelming community response to the highland park temporary bridge location was WE DO NOT WANT IT! This is for a variety of reasons, but safety of the neighborhood and effects of increased traffic on quality of life for entire area east of the river were top among them.

>>

>> 3. Need to consider effects of this process (projected 5 years to decision and 1-2 years of additional construction) on property values for nearby homeowners to any of these site options. The only ones that shouldn't affect property values are the options listed that don't involve building bridges, which is one reason many comments you likely have received are for the rerouting and/or same location/one lane at church street, touline road options, which don't take anyone's home or quality of life away during the interim.

>>

>> Thank you for considering these comments, and please let me know that you received them with a quick email response.

>>

>> Brandi Bradford

>> 1206 Williams ave

>>

>> "The future belongs to those who believe in the beauty of their dreams" Eleanor Roosevelt

>