## Louisiana Statewide Transportation Plan Update



Trucking Advisory Council Meeting – Round Three *Baton Rouge, LA*  January 23, 2014



## Agenda

### Welcome/Introductions

Questions

**Revenue Scenario Discussion** 

**Policy Recommendations Discussion** 

Megaprojects

**Plan Implementation Discussion** 

Wrap Up/Next Steps



- 4 Scenarios developed 1B, 2B, 3B and 4B
- Funding allocation based on Needs, Goals and Objectives
- To be approved by Executive Council and Policy Committee



#### Scenario 1B "Baseline":

• Business as usual, no new revenues or adjustments.

#### Scenario 2B "Reduction":

• Dramatic reduction in Federal funds (AASHTO, 2012), State funds remain unchanged.

#### Scenario 3B "Modest Increase":

• Increase in Transportation Trust Fund due to State vehicle sales tax revenue infusion in 2020, Federal funds remain unchanged.

#### Scenario 4B "Aggressive Increase":

• State vehicle sales tax revenue infusion in 2020 + increase in Federal funds in 2020.








**4B** 

47B

Mode	Scenario Revenue Levels [FY 2012 – 2044] Constant 2010 Dollars, in Billions				
	1B	2B	3B	4B	
Roadway & Bridge	\$15.6	\$13.4	\$24.5	\$31.0	
Transit	\$1.8	\$1.5	\$1.8	\$2.3	
Port	\$0.5	\$0.5	\$1.0	\$1.1	
Aviation	\$0.7	\$0.7	\$0.7	\$0.7	
Rail	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.1	\$0.1	
Total (Billions)	\$18.6	\$16.1	\$28.1	\$35.1	
Annual Average (Billions)	\$0.56	\$0.49	\$0.85	\$1.06	




## Scenario 1B – "Baseline" Allocation






## Scenario 2B – "Reduction" Allocation






## Scenario 3B – "Moderate Increase" Allocation





## Scenario 4B – "Aggressive Increase" Allocation



# **Budget Line Items by AC**

- 2012 Distribution
- Forecasted Revenue for FY 2022, 2032, and 2042
- Four Scenarios
- Line item descriptions



# **Funding Gap**

### Needs versus Revenue [FY 2012-2044] = Funding Gap, in Billions

Mode	Needs	1B – "Baseline" Revenues	Funding Gap
Roadway & Bridge	\$28.2	\$15.6	\$12.6
Transit	\$7.2	\$1.8	\$5.4
Freight & Passenger Rail	\$2.0	\$0.0	\$2.0
Ports & Waterways	\$7.1	\$0.5	\$6.6
Aviation	\$2.6	\$0.7	\$1.9
Total	\$47.1	\$18.6	\$28.5



# **Funding Options**



**General Sales Tax:** Replace the 20cents-per-gallon motor fuel tax with an increase in the statewide sales tax on all items subject to the current Louisiana sales tax.



VMT Fee: Assess a mileage-based, direct user fee to all driving on all roads.



Advanced Transportation District: Regional tax districts which may be established to fund transportation projects.



Indexing Motor Fuel Taxes: Ties motor fuel taxes to an inflation index (eg. Cost of Living Index, Consumer Price Index.) allowing revenues to grow without legislative action.



**Registration Fees:** Increase vehicle registration fees.



**Motor Fuels Sales Tax:** Convert the 20-cents-per-gallon gasoline tax to a statewide percentage sales tax applied to the value of the motor fuel purchased (or add a smaller sales tax).



**Local Options:** Local funding options such as local motor fuel taxes, local vehicle registration fees, property taxes, local option sales taxes, and local income taxes.



**Tolling:** Fees directly imposed to utilize a specific facility.

#### Louisiana



**Project Specific Tax:** A sales or motor fuels tax for a specified period to cover the cost of one or more projects (eg. TIMED Program).



Violation Surcharge: A surcharge on certain traffic violations in addition to normal court-inflicted penalties with proceeds allocated to specific public programs (eg. transportation).



# **Funding Options Evaluation**

Funding Option	<b>Potential Yield</b>	Sustainability
General Sales Tax	Moderate	High
Motor Fuels Sales Tax (% of Value)	Moderate	High
VMT Fee	High	High
Local Options	Moderate	Moderate
Advanced Transportation District	Low	Moderate
Tolling	Moderate	Moderate
Indexing	High	High
Project Specific Tax	Low	Low
Registration Fees	Moderate	Moderate
Violation Surcharge	Moderate	High

Source: CDM Smith, 2013



## **Revenue Scenario Activity**


- Divide into 2 groups
- Review funding scenarios choose most realistic scenario
- Discuss funding allocation and budgeted line items for chosen scenario
  - Would you re-allocate it? How?
- Review funding options to "fill the gap" which ones do you think can be accomplished?
- Do you have other funding ideas?
- Report back to the group





## **Policy Recommendations**

- Updated from October 2013 AC Meeting comments
- Organized by Goal area
- Added revenue scenarios
- Added budgeted line item if applicable
- Added cost category assumptions





## **Policy Recommendations**

- Confirm ratings
- Confirm that the list of policies is complete
- Consider additional policy "topics"



## Megaprojects

• Review priority listing of megaprojects



## **Plan Implementation Discussion**

- What are the key items DOTD should focus on to implement the Statewide Transportation Plan?
- How should this plan be measured for success?
- How should DOTD and its partners (you) use this plan?





# Wrap Up/Next Steps

- Megaprojects Executive Council/Policy Committee Approval
- Policy Recommendations Executive Council/Policy Committee Approval
- Draft Final Plan Spring 2014
  - Advisory Council Review
- Final Plan Summer 2014



## Thank you!



http://www.dotd.la.gov/study/



### Dan Broussard (Dan.Broussard@LA.GOV)